

City of Manchester New Hampshire

BALLPARK Feasibility Study

January 2003



In December 2002, Brailsford & Dunlavey ("B&D") was retained by the City of Manchester to perform a feasibility study for a proposed ballpark to house a potential class AA minor league baseball team in downtown Manchester. This document represents B&D's final report and findings for the study and includes a market analysis and detailed financial analysis.

All of the analyses within the study were based on upon a variety of assumptions outlined by representatives of the City of Manchester. The study was commissioned in response to interest expressed by a ballpark developer to bring a AA team to downtown Manchester and the City's interest in supporting the team through site improvements along the South Commercial Street corridor. Therefore, a class AA anchor tenant for the facility is assumed. In this context, B&D was specifically contracted to evaluate the Manchester market, its ability to support minor league baseball, and the revenue potential of the proposed franchise.

The findings of this study constitute the professional opinions of B&D personnel based on the assumptions and conditions detailed throughout. B&D analyst have conducted research using both primary ands secondary sources which are deemed to be reliable, but whose accuracy B&D cannot guarantee. Due to variations in the national and global economic conditions, actual expenses and revenues may vary from projections, and theses variances may be material.





Preface

SECTION	EXHIBITS
A Executive Summary	1 Manchester Demographic Analysis
B Market Analysis	2 US Demographic Data
C Financial Analysis	3 Market Strength Analysis
	4 Market Wealth Analysis
	5 Market Growth Analysis
	6 Target Population Analysis
	7 Corporate Strength Analysis
	8 Comparable Ballpark Attendance Analysis
	9 Comparable Ballpark Seating Price
	10 Comparable Ballpark Premium Seating
	11 Comparable Ballpark Advertising Inventory
	12 Comparable Ballpark Naming Rights
	13 Shadow Market Analysis
	14 Events Analysis & Projected Calendar
	15 Comparable Lease Term Analysis
	16 Comparable Operations Cost Analysis
	17 Corporate Survey List and Questionnaire
	18 Detailed Financial Analysis: New Ballpark
	19 Market Sensitivity Matrix
	20 Detailed Financial Analysis: No Baseball Tenant



TABLE OF CONTENTS



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report represents the findings of a market analysis and financial analysis intended to measure the feasibility of the proposed development and contractual arrangement necessary to bring an affiliated minor league team to Manchester. The new ballpark is to be owned by the City of Manchester and leased to a Class AA Eastern League Minor League Baseball franchise. The following key findings were identified in consideration of the revenues and expenditures projected for the ballpark and franchise.

Market Analysis

Various characteristics of the Manchester market have been evaluated within the context of three categories: first, markets currently hosting Eastern League franchises; second, markets throughout New England currently hosting Minor League Baseball or independent league franchises; and third, markets hosting Minor League Baseball or independent league franchises within close proximity of a Major League Baseball franchise (termed in this report "Shadow" markets). This lattermost category was evaluated in consideration of the proximity of Manchester to Boston, where the Major League Baseball Red Sox franchise is located.

These comparisons were undertaken to assess the relative economic strength of Manchester in comparison to those markets which successfully support professional baseball teams, and then further to project the likely performance of the franchise proposed for Manchester in terms of key revenue determinants such as attendance, ticket pricing, premium seating revenues, advertising, and naming rights.

- In terms of population and number of households, the Manchester market is of comparable size to other Eastern League or New England markets currently supporting independent or Minor League Baseball.
- The Manchester market exhibits a median household Effective Buying Income of \$51,967, which would be the third highest in the Eastern League, the third highest throughout New England, and well above the average among "Shadow" markets.
- The City of Manchester's projected rate of population growth 6% and household growth of 8% from 1999-2006 would be among the highest for Eastern League teams, New England teams, and other "Shadow" markets.
- Studies of professional baseball have determined that the demographic most favorable to the support of Minor League Baseball is young families, best represented in markets with a high percentage of the population in the 18-34 age bracket. Manchester, with 24% of its population falling within this "target market," would rank as the third most favorable market in the Eastern League and rank fourth among New England markets and "Shadow" markets.



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- While the sheer quantity of businesses located in the Manchester MSA does not compare favorably to the comparable market categories (ranking in the middle of the Eastern League markets but at the lowerend of the New England and Shadow markets), the strength of the corporate market must also be evaluated in terms of the concentration of businesses within the population – measured by number of business establishments per capita. In this category, Manchester exhibits a high ratio compared to all three categories.
- The corporate telephone survey results show a stable, diversified corporate market supportive of investments in downtown and improvements in local entertainment.
- The corporate market in Manchester, although relatively small, has shown strong support of a ballpark facility through interest in advertising and premium seating. In addition, there is unmet demand in the form of residual interest in premium seating for the Verizon Arena.
- Average attendance figures for the comparable market categories range from as low as 3,600 per game for New England area teams up to a high of 5,200 for "Shadow" market franchises.
- Average attendance Eastern League teams over the past 4 years is 4,500 with approximately 5% higher (4,700) attendance for ballparks built in the past 10 years.
- The average attendance for all "Shadow" market teams (inclusive of Eastern League clubs) exceeds 5,400.
- It is projected that average attendance for the proposed Class AA ballpark in Manchester will range from a low of 4,000 (conservative) to a high of 5,000 (aggressive), with a most likely case of 4,500.
- It is projected that the Manchester market can support the sale of 25 luxury suites sold at an annual lease rate of \$25,000.
- It is projected that 100 club seats can be sold in the Manchester market for \$750 per year.
- An examination of naming rights deals for minor league ballparks identified several recent agreements. The sale of naming rights is projected to generate \$250,000 per year for New Ballpark.

Financial Analysis

It is assumed the City will provide financing for up to a maximum of \$27.5 Million for development of a new ballpark, with this financing retired by net new tax revenues generated by adjacent property development. Any other project financing obligations will be the responsibility of the stadium



developer, on terms undisclosed to the consultants. Therefore, no modeling of debt incurred by either the City or the baseball franchise are included within this analysis.

- The threshold of "feasibility" for the purposes of this analysis is the ability of the revenues generated by the market projections outlined above to cover both stadium and franchise operating costs and still meet the \$750,000 per year annual payment to the City stipulated in the M.O.U.
- This analysis shows that the franchise should be able to cover this annual obligation with a comfortable coverage, even under the conservative attendance projection. It is not known whether the remaining cash flow is sufficient for the franchise to meet whatever financing obligations it may have incurred to purchase the franchise and develop the ballpark.
- The lease terms outlined in the City of Manchester MOU, a fixed annual tenant payment of \$750,000 to the City, compares positively with comparable lease arrangements in the market. The fixed annual payment is preferable to more speculative revenue based tenant payments prevalent in the market.

Conclusions

B&D's analysis projects that the conservatively projected revenues generated by a new Ballpark in the City of Manchester could support an AA Eastern League Team and cover its \$750,000 obligation to the City. The financial proforma does not include revenues from special events, parking, or ticket sales, which would represent additional revenue opportunities for the City.



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY



INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this market analysis is to evaluate the Manchester New Hampshire market and its ability to

support a 'AA' professional baseball team. Conclusions drawn from this analysis will provide a basis from

which to project the financial performance of the ballpark.

METHODOLOGY

This analysis seeks to provide a thorough understanding of the market economics, which will drive the

feasibility of the proposed ballpark. To that end, Brailsford & Dunlavey (B&D) has undertaken the following

series of both qualitative and quantitative analyses:

A detailed analysis of current and projected demographic and economic data;

A comprehensive review of comparable ballparks, including age, capacity, and revenue generators;

A survey of major Manchester businesses, assessing demand for premium seating and

advertising/sponsorships; and

Evaluation of the potential for non-baseball events a new Ballpark in the Manchester market; and

Review of comparable ballparks lease terms and operating cost.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

For the purposes of this study, B&D conducted demographic analyses on two levels. The first level is an

analysis of radius demographics at five, ten, and 20 miles from the City of Manchester, in order to assess the

general health of convenient drive time populations. This data was obtained from Claritas, a private data

vendor. The full report is found in Exhibit 1. The second level of analysis was conducted at the metropolitan

statistical area (MSA) level as defined by the U.S. Census Bureau. Data for this analysis was gathered from

Sales and Marketing Management's 2001 Survey of Buying Power. The MSA provides a common basis for

comparison to other metro areas that support minor and independent league teams. There are currently 323

MSAs designated by the U.S. Census Bureau. MSAs are composed of cities or urbanized areas of at least

50,000 people and the adjacent communities that are economically and socially tied to them. Finally, the

Census Bureau requires that total MSA population, including the city and adjacent communities, must contain

100,000 or more people. The City of Manchester falls within the Manchester-Nashua MSA.

Q,

U.S. Census Demographics – Exhibits 1-2

B&D's radius demographic analysis is aimed at assessing general market trends within varying driving distances from Manchester. Within all radii, the Manchester market reveals projected population growth at a slightly higher rate (7%) than in the overall United States (U.S.) population (4.5%). Therefore, projected growth denotes a healthy market and bodes well for ballpark attendance. Total year 2007 population in the 20-mile radius is projected at over 600,000, and is adequate to support a minor league team. The five and ten-mile market populations, while growing at a similar rate, both fall within the lower margins of selected comparable minor and independent league market populations. It is important to note that the Verizon Arena in Manchester currently pulls approximately 80% of ticket sales from within a 15-mile radius of the facility.

The number of households, similar to population, is projected to continue growing to year 2007. Growth in the number of households is lowest within the 20-mile radius at 8.2%, and is 8.8% and 8.7% in the five and ten-mile radii respectively. Housing construction, a major indicator of the economic vitality in a community, and represents a positive trend for Manchester with projected growth that matches the household projected growth.

In recent years minor and independent league baseball has thrived as an affordable form of family entertainment. Thus, families and especially young families with more limited budgets are targets of minor and independent league baseball. The Manchester market has a comparable household to the 2.6 national average. The 5, 10 and 20-mile radii average 2.4, 2.5 and 2.6 persons per household respectively, and are both projected to stay relatively consistent through 2007. Additionally, the percentage of households in the 5, 10 and 20-mile radius markets consisting of families with children (45.6%, 53.2% and 56.7% respectively) well exceeds the U.S. average of 28%. Both household size and families with children speak to the fact that Manchester has a larger than average percentage of families. Families are prime targets of ballpark facilities.

Manchester's larger than average median family income is also a positive attribute. Well above the U.S. average (\$56,334), Manchester's 20-mile radius market has a median family household income of \$78,747. Manchester's 5 and 10-mile radius boasts a slightly lower \$63,861 and \$73,974 median family household income respectively. This translates into more disposable income for entertainment spending. Considering the fact that entertainment spending is a low-priority expenditure (falling below necessities such as food and shelter), Manchester is in a good position relative to other U.S. communities to support a ballpark.

The 20-mile radius' total population (594,228) is adequate to support consistent minor league attendance. The growing population and high percentage of family households within the described market are good indicators



that future demand will continue to grow. Additionally, Manchester's above average affluence indicates

residents can afford to spend more on nonessential items, including a day at the ballpark.

MSA Demographics

Manchester is part of a MSA, including the city of Nashua. As previously stated, the MSA provides a common

basis for comparison to other metro areas that support minor and independent league teams. For the purposes

of this comparison, the general health and attraction potential of the Manchester-Nashua MSA is assessed

without consideration of market share (between Manchester and Nashua). Market share will directly affect

attendance, and will be considered after ballpark size and attendance is projected.

Market Strength - Exhibit 3

B&D selected comparable teams from the Eastern League (AA), New England Franchises, and "Shadow"

markets, which represent minor league affiliated and independent league teams that share a market with an

MLS franchise.

The Manchester-Nashua (Manchester MSA) ranks sixth among the thirteen comparable Eastern League MSAs,

regarding population size. At 384,200 people, the Manchester MSA is well within the parameters of other

successful minor league affiliated market. The Manchester MSA is not as strong, when compared to New

England Franchises and "Shadow" markets ranking sixth out of nine and last respectively.

Market Wealth - Exhibit 4

The Manchester MSA also boasts over \$50,000 in median household EBI, is in the top five of all market

categories and is actually ranked number in the top 25 of the 323 census recognized MSAs. The average EBI

for the U.S. is \$39,129. With purchasing power at above average levels, potential patrons of a new ballpark

have the potential to attend multiple games or even purchase season tickets.

Market Growth - Exhibit 5

The Manchester MSA ranks in the top among all comparable market segments for growth of population and

number of households through 2006 (Exhibit 4). As was true for the five, ten, and 20-mile radius population,

Q,

the expected growth in the Manchester's MSA translates into more potential ballpark patrons. In addition the

household growth from 2001 to 2006 has the largest projected increase among the comparables at 8.01%.

The Manchester MSA's average household EBI (disposable income) is projected to increase at a rate of 10%

from 2000 to 2005, which is considerably lower than the studies average of approximately 16%. Despite the

lower household EBI growth rate, Manchester's 2005 household EBI remains in the top half among the

Eastern League Markets.

Target Market – Exhibit 6

Exhibit 5 analyzes the population within the 18 to 34 year age group, considered to be a minor league ballpark

target population. The Manchester MSA ranks third in the Eastern League with regard to percent of total

target market population, and fourth among the selected New England and "Shadow" market comparables.

However, this high percentage translates to below average total target market population because of the

relatively smaller MSA population size. In the Manchester MSA 24.3% of residents are 18 to 34 years of age.

As shown in the radius study the target market population has a higher concentration in closer proximity to the

downtown. This statistic indicates the potential for higher attendance rates among city residents.

Corporate Strength Analysis – Exhibit 7

The Manchester market ranked second among Eastern League and "Shadow" markets and fourth among New

England markets with regard to ratio of businesses to population. In addition, the total retail sales in relation

to population show Manchester in a favorable light, by showing higher retails sales per capita than all but

Bridgeport, CT and Portland, ME. These two factors show a strong business and retail base.

With retail sales rivaling larger markets, the citizens of the Manchester MSA show an above average willingness

and ability to spend disposable income. Such a healthy market indicates ability to spend money for food,

souvenirs and tickets at a ballpark. The number of business establishments indicates another revenue stream

for a ballpark. Luxury suits, club seats, multiple season ticket packages and stadium advertising are all

purchased, primarily by business establishments, to entertain clients and reward employees. Manchester is

supportive of minor league baseball. With above average current and projected affluence and projected growth

to 2006, the market will continue to strengthen.

(**Q**),

Comparable Market Ballpark Review

Attendance – Exhibit 8

On average, the selected comparables well exceeded the national average per game attendance rate (52%) of all

minor and independent league teams. The difference is especially significant with the "Shadow" market

comparables, which average 80% of capacity.

Of the twelve Eastern League comparable ballparks only Bowie, MD fall below the 2002 national average

attendance rate (52%). It is important to note that the capacity of the Bowie ballpark (10,000) is considerably

larger than the average capacity for the League. Average attendance among Eastern League ballparks is 4,651

(66% of capacity), with an average capacity of 7,008.

To gain a comprehensive understanding of the effect of new construction on ballpark attendance B&D

undertook a historic attendance analysis of all the Easter League teams and the age of their respective facilities.

Results of this analysis remain consistent with findings for all thirty markets, demonstrating a strong correlation

between ballpark age and attendance. Average 4-year (1999-2002) attendance is 4,501 for all ballparks and

4,694 for ballparks built in the past 10 years. Nine of the twelve Easter League teams have built new ballparks

in the past 10 years, lending to the average attendance well above the national average. This attests to the

correlation between newer ballparks and attendance. New ballparks are being built with amenities and

nostalgic appeal that cannot be found in some older parks. Fans are attracted to these new parks and if

satisfied will return for the experience.

Seating Prices – Exhibit 9

Single ticket prices vary significantly from team to team, generally based on location. The New York City area

and Connecticut markets average \$9.50, whereas rural Pennsylvania and Maine tickets average \$6. For the

Eastern League and Comparable New England teams tickets prices averaged just over \$7 and the "Shadow"

markets averaged approximately \$8. Club seats are virtually non-existent for all but two teams in the Eastern

League and New England comparables, and range from \$750 to \$1,100 with single year commitments. The

comparable "Shadow" markets offered additional club seats and packages ranging from \$568 to \$1050 with one

to three year commitments.

Premium Seating – Exhibit 10

Ŵ,

Luxury suites are prevalent in most comparable markets, with the exception of some of the older ballparks.

Yearly lease rates range from a low of \$9,000 to a high of \$33,000 with terms from 1 to 10 years with seating

averaging around 20. The greater difference lies in the "Shadow" Market teams where prices average around

\$25,000 and ballparks average approximately 20 suites. The Eastern League and New England Markets average

a more modest \$15,000 to \$20,000 and 15 suites.

Advertising Revenues – Exhibit 11

The average sign inventory for Eastern League teams is all comparable markets is 55, whereas the New

England and "Shadow" market teams boast an average of 64. Comparable teams have anywhere from 24 to

150 leasable signs in their ballparks. Prices range from a low of \$750 to a high of \$35,000 (average \$4,000 to

\$15,000). Naming rights agreements among comparable ballparks range from \$20,000 to \$325,000 per year.

The average naming rights agreement in the Minor Leagues is approximately \$177,000 per year with an average

term of 13 years

"Shadow" Market Review - Exhibit 13

Because of the City of Manchester's proximity to Boston and the Boston RedSox Franchise, it is important to

analysis the effect of this shared market presence on both the financial viability of a Manchester team as well as

the effect of a new Minor League ballpark on its MLB affiliate.

Through an attendance review of affiliated minor league teams in Major League markets, attendance numbers

are 5-10% higher than the average of our sample and well above the overall minor league attendance.

A review of the impact of the new minor league teams or ballparks within "shadow" market of a Major League

team have shown less definitive results. MLB attendance corresponds more to the team's market size, quality of

facility and performance on the field. The historic attendance analysis illustrates that the introduction of a

minor league team to the market has no affect (or indeed, if anything, a slightly positive correlation) on MLB

attendance.

Because of the ticket price discrepancy between Minor League and MLB, the two are not direct competitors.

In fact many baseball minds believe that the growth of Minor League Baseball broadens the reach of the MLB

and adds to the overall strength of the baseball as a whole.

Many Major League teams, such as the Cleveland Indians are utilizing affiliated teams within their market to

regionalize their "brand". In the case of the Indians franchise, are moving a class A team to Eastlake, and

current have a short-season A team in Niles, OH, a class AA team in Akron, and a AAA team in Buffalo. The

(**Q**)

strategy is to develop player-based following among fans throughout the region, as well as provide opportunities for larger sponsorship packages that include the affiliates. Ultimately, these strategies may prove

to support attendance and advertising revenues at the parent major league club.

Event Potential Analysis

Event Potential – Exhibit 14

It is now commonly accepted that ballparks can host events other than baseball. More and more facilities are

generating supplemental rental revenues by scheduling events around their primary tenant. Events include

semi-professional, amateur, college and high school baseball and softball leagues and tournaments, as well as

other events appropriate for a baseball stadium (concerts and family shows etc.) B&D conducted phone

interviews with local promoters and conducted an analysis of four comparable teams in the northeastern

United States to test non-baseball event potential in the Manchester Market.

The findings of the analysis revealed no discernable pattern of use in regard to ballpark facilities. Comparable

facilities included Campbell's Field (Camden, NJ), Yale Field (New Haven, CT) Bears and Eagles Riverfront

Stadium (Newark, NJ) and Holman Stadium (Nashua, NH). The most consistent trend among all facilities is

their use by high school and college/amateur baseball teams. Other events include concerts, Fourth of July

celebrations, graduations and conferences. The number of supplemental events ranged from 31 to 100.

Manchester would create an unfortunate market position for itself, in that renovations to Gill Stadium would impinge on the new ballpark market share of high school, college and amateur baseball teams. Based on the

conflicting market factors affecting the Manchester market, B&D has developed a calendar with 13 non-

baseball events.

Comparable Lease and Operations Review

Lease Review - Exhibit 15

In order to evaluate the market fairness of the City of Manchester's MOU terms, B&D cataloged general

operations and revenue lease terms from 11 Minor League Baseball Clubs. Upon review of comparable leases

in the Minor League Market, B&D found a wide range of landlord (City) tenant (Team) arrangements. The

majority of lease terms are for 10-25 years, with a few teams as low as 4 or 5-year agreements. The Tenant

generally receives the bulk of the game date gate receipts, with Landlord receiving a maximum of 10% (usually

Ŵ,

5%) of gross ticket sales. Many times lease terms include a minimum rent payment ranging from \$5,000 to

\$200,000 and plus a percentage of revenues a specific point, i.e. 10% of gross ticket sales in excess of \$750,000.

This arrangement removes tenant risk and allows the landlord to benefit from strong team sales.

Operations Cost Review - Exhibit 16

B&D conducted an operations expense analysis to develop market relevant team expenses for use in the

financial model. The research includes team operations expense data for 2002. For the purposes of consistency

B&D only used data quantifying day to day team operating expenses including; salaries, advertising promotion,

general team expenses, administration, concession, merchandise, and ticket cost. Expenses such as financing

payments for team acquisition and ballpark development have been excluded because of the inconsistent

nature. Total operations expenses range significantly from \$1.2 million to \$5 million, with significant

dependence on salaries and administrative cost.

Corporate Survey – Exhibit 17

Introduction

B&D conducted a telephone survey of local businesses to gauge corporate interest in and potential support of a

ballpark in Manchester.

Methodology

B&D attempted to contact managers or marketing directors at major employers within the Manchester region.

Survey questions were designed to sample corporate interest in ballpark advertising, premium seating, and

luxury suite purchase, and their general perception of the local business climate. B&D selected a range of 25

local businesses from The Greater Manchester Chamber of Commerce Membership List targeting a diversified

range of businesses including law firms, manufacturing, etc. Of the 25 businesses contacted 10 chose to

respond to the survey questions. In addition B&D met with Tim Bechert the General Manager for the

Verizon Arena to discuss the success of that facilities and the market strength based on his experience.

Summary of Findings

Overall those businesses that responded to the telephone survey feel that Manchester has a strong economy

that is bolstered by the diverse range business type and size in the community. Most however do believe that

Manchester is feeling the effects of the recession and note a decline in business over the last three to four years,

(Q),

Brailsford & Dunlavey
Feasibility Study
Page 12

although considerably less than the nation as a whole. However, most also believe that a new ballpark would

do well in Manchester.

Of the survey respondents who advertise locally, many stated that they would be interested in advertising in a

new ballpark. Furthermore, one respondent showed interest in naming rights. Based on this information, the

potential for advertising income is seen as favorable. The existing deal at the Verizon Arena has been

described as the second best in Minor League Hockey.

Two thirds of those who participated in the survey showed interest in purchasing season tickets for employee

or client use. Of those interested in season tickets, approximately two thirds are interested in club seats or

luxury suites. The Verizon Arena currently has 542 Club seats at \$1,600 per ticket with a 40-person waiting list,

representing a more speculative market.

Most respondents do not lease luxury suites in a sports facility. Of those that do, the majority of leases are for

luxury suites in the Verizon Arena. Current leaseholders surveyed also showed interest in luxury suites at a new

ballpark. In addition, the Verizon Arena leases 34 luxury suites accommodating five to nine patrons for \$37,500, which is almost twice the ballpark test price of (\$15,000 – \$20,000) seating approximately 20 and has a

waiting list of 35 companies, showing the strength and commitment of the corporate market.

In general, survey respondents were very positive regarding the potential for a new ballpark in Manchester.

Many responded favorably to the possibility of downtown redevelopment and noted that the Verizon Arena,

although originally controversial, many added that it was a positive addition to downtown Manchester and had

visibly bolstered business downtown.

Conclusion

The City of Manchester and surrounding areas, and the Manchester MSA all display positive economic and

demographic trends and strong buying power. When reviewed against comparable markets, the Manchester

MSA performs well enough to support a new ballpark. Finally, the success of the new Verizon Arena in

Manchester illustrates a strong corporate market with unmet demand, able and willing to support an additional

sports and entertainment venue downtown.

Q,



INTRODUCTION

The purpose of the detailed financial analysis is to project the level of revenue support that will likely be

generated by new ballpark in the City of Manchester, NH and to identify the capacity of those revenues to meet

the yearly facility rentals and additional revenues to the City. To that end, the analysis applies the resultant

projections of the included market analysis.

To understand thoroughly the financial implications of developing a ballpark in the City of Manchester, B&D

developed a comprehensive integrated financial model to analyze the project's feasibility. The model includes

ten-year revenue and expense projections. To maintain the models' internal consistency, all of these elements

are integrated such that any change in assumptions within one of these components automatically forces a

corresponding adjustment in other elements affected by that assumption.

Due to the volatility of the industry and circumstances outside of the author's control, projected results may

vary significantly from the actual project's performance. Therefore, B&D cannot ensure that the results

highlighted in this report will portray the actual performance of the proposed development project; however, to

identify the range of risks inherent in the proposed project, the model allows for testing of multiple

performance scenarios to test the project concept under a variety of economic conditions.

ANALYTICAL APPROACH

Based on the terms of the MOU, it is assumed that a private developer will finance the ballpark development

with a \$27.5 million contribution from the City. Therefore, no debt payment is assumed in the model. The

purpose of the financial model (Exhibit 18) is to project the revenues available from a new ballpark in

Manchester, and verify the "market fairness" of the MOU term requiring a fixed annual payment \$750,000

from the team to the City for use of the facility. Revenue assumptions herein are based on market analysis of

comparable MSAs and ballparks, detailed data is available in Exhibits 1-14. The operating cost assumptions

shown are based on comparable baseball clubs, the use of industry averages, and B&Ds expertise.

Conservative assumptions have been utilized throughout the analysis.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Revenue Assumptions

A ballpark generates revenue for its owner (in this case, the City of Manchester) by the rental of the facility to a

team owner, typically as a flat fee or a percentage of revenues from premium seating leases, concessions, and

Ø,

advertising including naming of the facility. The majority of these revenue streams correlate directly to the

number of total spectators that enter the facility. Although these numbers can fluctuate heavily based on

market conditions, management of the facility, negotiated lease deals, etc. the model contained herein remains

conservative in estimating the total revenues that the building will achieve. In the end, the anchor tenant will

be the greatest determinant of the of the level of revenues achieved by the facility based on its success in

attracting spectators and the terms negotiated in the tenant's lease agreement. These terms will determine the

division of building revenues to the respective parties.

B&D attendance projections were developed based on economic and demographic trends, as well as intensive

review of historical attendance data for the Eastern League as well as comparable New England and National

markets detailed in the market analysis. B&D therefore, projects a "base case" attendance scenario for a new

ballpark in the City of Manchester of 4,500 per game. Because attendance may vary within a range determined

by national and regional economic variations and other factors, B&D projects that this attendance may vary

between a "conservative" estimate of 4,000 to an "aggressive" estimate of 5,000 the impact of these

fluctuations on the financial performance of the facility are detailed in Exhibit 19.

For the sake of this analysis, B&D has designated all revenue streams as "Stadium Income". These revenues

include; naming rights income, advertising, gate receipts, luxury suites, club seats, etc. These revenues

constitute the primary source of income from which the team's payment to the City and the team and facility's

operational costs are funded. It is assumed that the actual lease terms, which will determine the control of

"additional revenues" (revenues beyond the rent payment and operations cost) between the City and the

franchise, will be negotiated subsequent to the completion of this study.

Parking Income

The site identified by the City for development of the Ballpark is located in close proximity to over 4,200

parking spaces, which is well above the average of standard of one space for every three seats of ballpark

capacity. Therefore, the City has instructed that the financial model be developed without the assumption of

parking revenues. In addition, adequate parking is available on site to be utilized as part of the premium seating

packages and for patrons of the hotel retail establishments proximate to the new ballpark.

Concessions/Novelty Income

Concession and novelty income continues to increase with the development of new ballpark hosting larger

concourses, more diverse menu offerings and points of sale. In many cases, a third party concessionaire will be

(Q)

contracted to manage the food service for a large venue. Typically, concessionaire contracts dictate a 35%

share of gross sales, although this "commission" may be substantially less if the concessionaire is required to

invest in food service equipment. The analysis assumes that the average attendee will spend \$5.46 per visit (in

2004 dollars) with an estimated average annual attendance of 1.7M in gross revenues in Year one.

Naming Rights

Naming rights are increasingly appearing as revenue opportunities among minor league facilities. The pricing

of these rights a the minor leagues fluctuate significantly and are often complicated by the inclusion of a

broader "sponsorship" relationship extending far beyond the ballpark's name itself. Recent corporate sponsors

for class AA minor league ballparks include Dell Computers which paid \$2.5M over 15-years to name the

Texas Express Ballpark, and Proctor and Gamble which paid \$1.6M over 15-years. In additional new ballparks

in the Northeast have been even more successful on procuring multimillion dollar naming rights deals.. Due to

the strength of the City of Manchester corporate market, the success of the Verizon Arena, and the buying

power of the region, a ballpark should have the opportunity to secure a competitive sale of the ballpark's

naming rights. Naming rights are estimated to be \$250,000 per year over a 10-year term for a new ballpark in

downtown Manchester.

Advertising Income

Many minor league baseball teams bring in hundreds of thousands of dollars worth of advertising income.

Two factors will play key roles in the ability of the team and ballpark to be marketed. Obviously, the size and

strength of the corporate market in the City of Manchester and the surrounding areas is essential, but also the

team's sales and marketing department will be a vital component as well. Since in most situations the onus in

the team to sell the advertising, sponsorships, and signage, most lease agreements have the majority of this

revenue stream going directly to the team. Advertising revenues are estimated at \$550,000 annually.

Premium Seating

Premium seating has been a large factor for the recent surge in development for both major and minor league

facilities. Premium seating can be divided into two categories, club seats and luxury suites (also known as

luxury boxes or sky boxes). Luxury suites are generally bought by companies and are the most expensive seats

at the ballpark. They generally include 10-20 tickets to the game, free parking, a glass enclosed air-conditioned

suite, and waiter/waitress service. Current Minor League prices range from \$9,000 to \$33,000 per year with the

tenants signing multi-year leases. Due to Manchester's strong corporate market and affluent population, the

Q.

luxury suites were estimated at the upper end of the range (\$25,000 per season). An estimated 12% of the gross revenues for the boxes will be used for expenses such as the free parking & tickets, cleaning service, and other amenities. Club seats are generally the seats closest to the field on the first and third base side. Comparable Minor league club seats range from \$568 to \$1,050 per season generally with short-term leases of 1-3 years. For the purpose of this model B&D has projected club seat prices at \$750 per season.

Operating Assumptions

The operating costs of the ballpark may vary depending on the terms of a lease. In some cases, the team itself operates the ballpark and thus, the team is responsible for most of the expenses incurred. In other cases, the ballpark is operated by a public entity and the team is a tenant at the ballpark. In this scenario, it is assumed that the team is responsible for all operating cost.

CONCLUSION

It is the intention of the City of Manchester that there be no debt incurred by the City of Manchester or the potential franchise for the proposed ballpark. Hence, B&D has developed a detailed financial scenario that strongly supports the feasibility of a 6,500-seat minor league ballpark. For the purposes of this model the ballpark's revenue is achieved solely through the minor league baseball anchor tenant. It should be noted that failure on the part of the ownership group to maintain a minor league professional baseball franchise would render the project financially infeasible under any economic conditions. Assuming that the franchise remains in operation and with the appropriate mix of sources of revenue, B&D projects that sufficient cash flow will be generated to provide a comfortable coverage for the tenants \$750,000 annual payment to the City as well as coverage of the facility's operating costs given the set operating and financing parameters.



Marketview Comparison Report (Page 1 of 10)

Claritas Inc.

Sales (800)234-5973

Area 1 = CITY OF MANCHESTER: 5 MI Area 2 = CITY OF MANCHESTER: 10 MI

Area 3 = CITY OF MANCHESTER: 20 MI

Attribute		Area	1	Area 2	2	Area 3	
Population:	2007 Total	144935		241409		639876	
	2002 Total	135152		223892		594228	
	2000 Total	131100		216610		575219	
	1990 Total	118662		189936		500925	
	% Change 00-02	3.1		3.4		3.3	
	% Change 90-00	10.5		14.0		14.8	
Households:	2007 Total	58636		93016		241819	
	2002 Total	54185		85451		222355	
	2000 Total	52372		82356		214386	
	1990 Total	46506		70997		182966	
	% Change 00-02	3.5		3.8		3.7	
	% Change 90-00	12.6		16.0		17.2	
Av. HH Size:	2007	2.38		2.53		2.60	
	2002	2.39		2.55		2.62	
	2000	2.40		2.55		2.63	
2002 Group Q	Quarters Population	5423		6216		11980	
Families:	2007 Total	35085		61129		165704	
	2002 Total	33003		57112		154924	
	2000 Total	32136		55416		150357	
	% Change 00-02	2.7		3.1		3.0	
Housing	2007 8-1-1	60760		0.5.000		250700	
Units:	2007 Total	60762		95928		250700	
	2002 Total	56152 54277		88132 84945		230525 222266	
0000 5 1	' 1 2' 1 21 '6'		_	1 7	,		
	ion by Single Classifi	135151	nsus K %	ace and E1 223890	cnnici %	594238	용
	ne	124629		210692		561511	
Black or		124029	92.2	210092	94.I	301311	94.5
African Am American I	merican Alone	2623	1.9	3096	1.4	6663	1.1
	itive Alone	403	0.3	606	0.3	1490	0.3
	ne	3084	2.3	4095	1.8	10909	1.8
	waiian/Other	0001	2.0	1030	1.0	10303	1.0
Pacific Is	slander Alone	45	0.0	62	0.0	190	0.0
Some Other	Race Alone	2173	1.6	2456	1.1	6490	1.1
Two or Mor	re Races	2194	1.6	2883	1.3	6985	1.2
Hispanic or	Latino						
Population		5731	8	6861	용	16282	%
White Alon Black or	ne	3027	52.8	3831	55.8	8581	52.7
African Am	merican Alone	233	4.1	251	3.7	534	3.3
American I			0 0		1 ^	100	1 1
	tive Alone	51	0.9	66	1.0	182	1.1
	ne	20	0.3	31	0.5	79	0.5
	vaiian/Other	^	0 1	^	0 1	10	0 1
	Slander Alone	1007	0.1	2105	0.1	13 5682	0.1
	Race Alone	405	34.7 7.1	478	7.0	5682 1211	
INO OF MOL	e naces	405	/ • ⊥	4/8	7.0	1211	/ • 4

8-JAN-03

Support (800)780-4237

Marketview Comparison Report (Page 2 of 10)

Claritas Inc. Sales (800)234-5973

Area 3 = CITY OF MANCHESTER: 20 MI

Area 1 = CITY OF MANCHESTER: 5 MI Area 2 = CITY OF MANCHESTER: 10 MI

Attribute	Area 1	1	Area	2	Area 3	3
Not Hispanic or Latino						
Population	129420	%	217029	용	577956	용
White AloneBlack or	121602	94.0	206861	95.3	552930	95.7
African American Alone American Indian or	2390	1.8	2845	1.3	6129	1.1
Alaskan Native Alone	352	0.3	540	0.2	1308	0.2
Asian Alone	3064	2.4	4064	1.9	10830	1.9
Native Hawaiian/Other	0001		1001	,	10000	,
Pacific Islander Alone	37	0.0	53	0.0	177	0.0
Some Other Race Alone	186	0.1	261	0.1	808	0.1
Two or More Races	1789	1.4	2405	1.1	5774	1.0
2002 Pop. by Age:	135152	0/0	223892	90	594228	%
Under 5 Years	8670	6.4	14900	6.7	39653	6.7
5 to 9 Years	8783	6.5	16342	7.3	44919	
10 to 14 Years	9108	6.7	17154	7.7	47970	8.1
15 to 19 Years	8907	6.6	15260	6.8	39911	6.7
20 to 24 Years	10055	7.4	13516	6.0	30559	5.1
25 to 34 Years	20534		31287		79941	
35 to 44 Years	21622		39580		109665	
45 to 54 Years	18472		33085		91066	
55 to 59 Years	6463	4.8	11076	4.9	31010	5.2
60 to 64 Years	4894	3.6 6.1	7780		21092	3.5
65 to 74 Years	8228 6740	5.0	11881 8776		30198 20420	5.1 3.4
85 Years and Over	2670	2.0	3256		7880	1.3
Total Median Age (in Years)	35.7	2.0	35.9	1.5	36.3	1.5
Male Median Age (in Years)	34.5		35.1		35.6	
2002 Females by age:	69368	%	113982	90	300578	용
Under 5 years	4248	6.1	7272	6.4	19217	6.4
5 to 9 years	4225	6.1	7915	6.9	21864	7.3
10 to 14 years	4485	6.5	8452	7.4	23628	7.9
15 to 19 years	4432	6.4	7500	6.6	19434	6.5
20 to 24 years	5184	7.5	6863	6.0	15201	5.1
25 to 34 years	10048		15685		40169	
35 to 44 years	10617		19658		54606	
45 to 54 years		13.4	16572		45480	
55 to 59 years	3296	4.8	5499	4.8	15430	5.1
60 to 64 years	2590	3.7	4010	3.5 5.8	10641	3.5 5.4
65 to 74 years	4622 4254	6.7 6.1	6589 5480	5.8 4.8	16296 12701	4.2
75 to 84 years	2057	3.0	2487	2.2	5911	2.0
85 years and over	37.0	J. U	36.7	∠•∠	37.0	∠.∪
remate median age (in years)	37.0		30.7		37.0	

8-JAN-03

Support (800)780-4237

Marketview Comparison Report (Page 3 of 10)

Claritas Inc.

Sales (800)234-5973

Area 1 = CITY OF MANCHESTER: 5 MI Area 2 = CITY OF MANCHESTER: 10 MI

Area 3 = CITY OF MANCHESTER: 20 MI

Attribute		Area 1	L	Area 2		Area 3	3
2002 White popula White under 5 White 5 to 17 White 18 to 44 White 45 to 64 White 65 years	yearsyearsyearsyearsyearsyears.	124639 7504 20536 51011 28305 17283	6.0 16.5 40.9 22.7	210697 13411 39678 84240 49933 23435	6.4 18.8 40.0 23.7	561534 36156 110943 219100 137938 57397	6.4 19.8 39.0 24.6
	yearsyearsyearsyearsyearsyears.	586 1361	% 10.6 22.4 52.1 12.5 2.3	701 1583	% 10.0 22.6 51.1 13.3 2.8	6673 608 1484 3286 1094 201	49.2
2002 Hispanic pop Hispanic under Hispanic 5 to Hispanic 18 to Hispanic 45 to Hispanic 65 yea	5 years 17 years 44 years 64 years	1462 2677	% 12.8 25.5 46.7 12.3 2.6	1762 3134	% 12.8 25.7 45.7 13.1 2.7	16283 1918 4377 7564 2034 390	26.9 46.5
	2002 1989 (Census) % Change 89-02.	\$26413 \$15566 69.7		\$28936 \$16844 71.8		\$30063 \$17448 72.3	
,	2002 1989 (Census) % Change 89-02	\$63861 \$39219 62.8		\$73974 \$44707 65.5		\$78747 \$47425 66.0	
	2002 1989 (Census) % Change 89-02.	\$51376 \$33637 52.7		\$61171 \$38692 58.1		\$65944 \$41359 59.4	
	c.: 2002 1989 (Census) % Change 89-02.	\$63574 \$41222 54.2		\$71830 \$45730 57.1		\$75734 \$47658 58.9	
2002 Average Hous 2002 Median House		\$170374 \$78043		\$204180 \$112640		\$224707 \$133812	

8-JAN-03

Support (800)780-4237

NOTE: When median hhold net worth is < \$25,000 it will be listed as \$24,999

Marketview Comparison Report (Page 4 of 10)

Claritas Inc.

Area 1 = CITY OF MANCHESTER: 5 MI Area 2 = CITY OF MANCHESTER: 10 MI Area 3 = CITY OF MANCHESTER: 20 MI

8-JAN-03 Sales (800)234-5973 Support (800)780-4237

Attribute	Area 1	Area 2	Area 3
2002 Households by Hhld Income:.	54185 %	85451 %	222355 %
Under \$15,000	6414 11.8	7855 9.2	16344 7.4
\$ 15,000 to \$ 24,999	5446 10.1	6862 8.0	15290 6.9
\$ 25,000 to \$ 34,999	5870 10.8	7293 8.5	17221 7.7
\$ 35,000 to \$ 49,999	8491 15.7	11556 13.5	28875 13.0
\$ 50,000 to \$ 74,999	12959 23.9	20746 24.3	52979 23.8
\$ 75,000 to \$ 99,999	7387 13.6	14124 16.5	38996 17.5
\$100,000 to \$149,999	5109 9.4	10985 12.9	33194 14.9
\$150,000 to \$249,999	1859 3.4	4790 5.6	16106 7.2
\$250,000 to \$499,999	476 0.9	904 1.1	2547 1.1
\$500,000 and Over	170 0.3	332 0.4	818 0.4
1990 Hholds by 1989 hhld income:	46460 %	71183 %	182948 %
Under \$15,000	9406 20.2	11485 16.1	24612 13.5
\$ 15,000 to \$ 24,999	7107 15.3	9140 12.8	22190 12.1
\$ 25,000 to \$ 34,999	7582 16.3	10544 14.8	25796 14.1
\$ 35,000 to \$ 49,999	10155 21.9	16238 22.8	41420 22.6
\$ 50,000 to \$ 74,999	8030 17.3	15227 21.4	42808 23.4
\$ 75,000 to \$ 99,999	2620 5.6	5079 7.1	15546 8.5
\$100,000 to \$149,999	996 2.1	2432 3.4	7860 4.3
\$150,000 to \$249,999	390 0.8	711 1.0	1897 1.0
\$250,000 to \$499,999	135 0.3	254 0.4	649 0.4
\$500,000 and Over	39 0.1	73 0.1	170 0.1
2002 Fam. HHs by Fam. Hhld Inc.:	33003 %	57112 %	154924 %
Under \$15,000	1449 4.4	1873 3.3	4305 2.8
\$ 15,000 to \$ 24,999	2310 7.0	2923 5.1	6818 4.4
\$ 25,000 to \$ 34,999	2880 8.7	3676 6.4	9028 5.8
\$ 35,000 to \$ 49,999	4943 15.0	7073 12.4	17800 11.5
\$ 50,000 to \$ 74,999	9160 27.8	15011 26.3	38568 24.9
\$ 75,000 to \$ 99,999	5753 17.4	11568 20.3	32138 20.7
\$100,000 to \$149,999	4231 12.8	9500 16.6	28762 18.6
\$150,000 to \$249,999	1675 5.1	4371 7.7	14470 9.3
\$250,000 to \$499,999	442 1.3	833 1.5	2325 1.5
\$500,000 and Over	158 0.5	282 0.5	710 0.5
1990 Fam. HH by 1989 Fam. HH Inc	30186 %	49975 %	133413 %
Under \$15,000	2973 9.8	3748 7.5	8547 6.4
\$ 15,000 to \$ 24,999	3716 12.3	4944 9.9	12482 9.4
\$ 25,000 to \$ 34,999	4898 16.2	6955 13.9	17327 13.0
\$ 35,000 to \$ 49,999	7903 26.2	13052 26.1	33057 24.8
\$ 50,000 to \$ 74,999	6943 23.0	13446 26.9	38096 28.6
\$ 75,000 to \$ 99,999	2296 7.6	4605 9.2	14141 10.6
\$100,000 to \$149,999	925 3.1	2267 4.5	7271 5.4
\$150,000 to \$249,999	368 1.2	667 1.3	1758 1.3
\$250,000 to \$499,999	125 0.4	236 0.5	595 0.4
\$500,000 and Over	39 0.1	55 0.1	139 0.1

Attribute	Area 1	Area 2	Area 3
2002 Hholds by Hhold Net Worth: Less than \$25,000 \$25,000 to \$49,999 \$50,000 to \$74,999 \$75,000 to \$99,999 \$100,000 to \$149,999 \$150,000 and 249,000 \$250,000 and 499,000 \$500,000 and 749,000 \$750,000 and 999,000 \$1,000,000 and over	54144 % 18528 34.2 4690 8.7 3474 6.4 3307 6.1 4901 9.0 6667 12.3 8151 15.0 2732 5.0 847 1.6 892 1.6	85434 % 23499 27.5 6640 7.8 5271 6.2 5243 6.1 8194 9.6 11931 14.0 15813 18.5 5487 6.4 1652 1.9 1719 2.0	222371 % 53169 23.9 16231 7.3 13410 6.0 13593 6.1 21851 9.8 32811 14.8 45187 20.3 16130 7.3 4881 2.2 5096 2.3
2002 Householders by Age: 15 to 24 Years	54144 % 3349 6.2 10366 19.1 12141 22.4 10830 20.0 6763 12.5 5183 9.6 5512 10.2	85434	222371 % 8381 3.8 37504 16.9 58843 26.5 51527 23.2 30726 13.8 18831 8.5 16559 7.4
2002 Households by Hhold Inc: Age of Hholder 25-44 Years:	22507	36719 % 1673 4.6 2016 5.5 2737 7.5 4966 13.5 10380 28.3 7445 20.3 5366 14.6 1779 4.8 278 0.8 79 0.2	96347 % 3549 3.7 3916 4.1 6319 6.6 12536 13.0 26597 27.6 20147 20.9 15953 16.6 6213 6.4 887 0.9 230 0.2
Age of Hholder 45-64 Years:	17593 % 1239 7.0 1043 5.9 1477 8.4 2616 14.9 4736 26.9 2862 16.3 2284 13.0 965 5.5 277 1.6 94 0.5	30192 % 1622 5.4 1408 4.7 2008 6.7 3747 12.4 7492 24.8 5538 18.3 4933 16.3 2700 8.9 545 1.8 199 0.7	82253 % 3443 4.2 3643 4.4 4933 6.0 9270 11.3 19204 23.3 15653 19.0 15170 18.4 8969 10.9 1473 1.8 495 0.6
Age of Householder 65+ Years:	10695 % 3182 29.8 2143 20.0 1529 14.3 1389 13.0 1199 11.2 555 5.2 410 3.8 206 1.9 48 0.4 34 0.3	14491	35390 % 8201 23.2 6654 18.8 4841 13.7 5383 15.2 5097 14.4 2365 6.7 1729 4.9 879 2.5 159 0.4 82 0.2

Marketview Comparison Report (Page 6 of 10)

Claritas Inc.

Sales (800)234-5973

Area 1 = CITY OF MANCHESTER: 5 MI Area 2 = CITY OF MANCHESTER: 10 MI Area 3 = CITY OF MANCHESTER: 20 MI

Attribute	Area 1	L	Area 2	2	Area 3	3
2002 Households by Hhold Type:	54183	용	85449	용	222357	용
Male no Wife no Child	7473	13.8	10059	11.8	24130	10.9
Female no Husband no Child	8798	16.2	11661	13.6	27542	12.4
Married Couple Family	24712	45.6	45474	53.2	126176	56.7
Other Family Hhold Own Child	8294	15.3	11639	13.6	28754	12.9
Non-Family	4906	9.1	6616	7.7	15755	7.1
1990 Pop. 65+ Yr. by HH Type:	15863	%	20576	용	47735	%
Living Alone	4936	31.1	6122	29.8	13287	27.8
In Families	9105	57.4	12355	60.0	29916	62.7
In Non-Families	329	2.1	437	2.1	980	2.1
In Group Quarters	1493	9.4	1662	8.1	3552	7.4
2002 Marital status:						
For Population 15+ Years:	108589	8	175495	%	461719	용
Never Married	31776	29.3	46572	26.5	115343	25.0
Now Married (Exc. Separated)	56231	51.8	100510	57.3	276098	59.8
Divorced or Separated	12254	11.3	17451	9.9	44753	9.7
Widowed	8328	7.7	10962	6.2	25525	5.5
For Females 15+ Years:	56410	0/0	90347	%	235864	0/0
Never Married	14815	26.3	21481	23.8	52101	22.1
Now Married (Exc. Separated)	27755	49.2	49979	55.3	137696	58.4
Divorced or Separated	6926	12.3	9822	10.9	25033	10.6
Widowed	6914	12.3	9065	10.0	21034	8.9
2002 Educational Attainment for						
Population 25+ Years:	89619	용	146719	용	391260	용
Less than 9th Grade		11.1	12099		24080	6.2
9th to 12th Grade, No Diploma	11462		16034		39497	
High School Graduate	26810	29.9	42507	29.0	114701	29.3
Some College, No Degree	15959	17.8	27838	19.0	75093	19.2
Associate Degree	6712	7.5	12472	8.5	33977	8.7
Bachelor's Degree	12742	14.2	24433	16.7	70915	18.1
Graduate or Prof. Degree	5979	6.7	11336	7.7	32997	8.4
2002 Pop. Age 16+, In Labor Frc:	75878	%	127808	용	340586	용
Civilian Employed Males	37106	48.9	63483		171634	
Civilian Employed Females	33390		56068		147165	
Persons in Armed Forces	246	0.3	434	0.3	1167	0.3
Persons Unemployed	5136	6.8	7823	6.1	20620	6.1

8-JAN-03

Support (800)780-4237

Marketview Comparison Report (Page 7 of 10)

Claritas Inc.

Sales (800)234-5973

Area 1 = CITY OF MANCHESTER: 5 MI Area 2 = CITY OF MANCHESTER: 10 MI

Area 3 = CITY OF MANCHESTER: 20 MI

2002 OccupatEmployed pop. 16+: 70490 % 119545 % 318796 % Managerial/Prof. Spec. 18215 25.8 34936 29.2 100058 31.8 Exec/Admin/Managerial. 9027 12.8 17197 14.4 48863 15.3 Professional Specialty 9188 13.0 17739 14.8 51195 16.1 Tech./Sales/Admin Support. 25577 36.3 42650 35.7 108045 33.9 Technician and Related. 2500 3.5 4716 3.9 14322 4.5 Sales. 9515 13.5 16327 13.7 42010 13.2 Administrative Support. 13562 19.2 21607 18.1 51713 16.2 Service Occupation. 8954 12.7 13411 11.2 33210 10.4 Private Household. 176 0.2 238 0.2 591 0.2 Protective Service. 1036 1.5 1705 1.4 4117 1.3 0ther Service. 7742 11.0 11468 9.6 28502 8.9 Farming/Forestry/Fishing. 340 0.5 732 0.6 2493 0.8 Farming/Forestry/Fishing. 340 0.5 732 0.6 2493 0.8 Precision/Craft/Repair. 7615 10.8 13191 11.0 37024 11.6 Operator/Fabricators/Laborer. 9789 13.9 14625 12.2 37966 11.9 Machine Op/Assem /Inspect. 4767 6.8 7010 5.9 18853 5.9 Trans. 6 Material Moving. 2816 3.7 3937 3.3 9786 3.1 Handlers/Helpers/Laborers. 2406 3.4 3678 3.1 9327 2.9 2002 Industry-Employed Pop. 16+: 70490 % 119552 % 318809 % Admining. 2616 3.7 3937 3.3 9786 3.1 Handlers/Helpers/Laborers. 2406 3.4 3678 3.1 9327 2.9 2002 Industry-Employed Pop. 16+: 70490 % 119552 % 318809 % Admining. 2616 3.7 3937 3.3 9786 3.1 Handlers/Helpers/Laborers. 2406 3.4 3678 3.1 9327 2.9 2002 Industry-Employed Pop. 16+: 70490 % 119552 % 318809 % Admining. 2616 3.7 3937 3.3 9786 3.1 Handlers/Helpers/Laborers. 2406 3.4 3678 3.1 9327 2.9 2002 Industry-Employed Pop. 16+: 70490 % 119552 % 318809 % Admining. 2616 3.7 3937 3.3 9786 3.1 3360 3.4 368 6.2 7471 6.2 20594 6.5 Manufacturing-Durable Goods 3892 5.5 6512 5.4 17434 5.5 Manufacturing-Durable Goods 3892 5.5 6512 5.4 1743 6.5 5 Manufacturing-Durable Goods 3892 5.5 6512 5.4 1743 6.5 5 Exercises 3.6 5 5 6512 5.4 1743 6.5 5 Exercises 3.6 5 6512 5.4 1743 6.5 5 Exercises 3.6 5 6512 5.4 1743 6.5 5 Exercises 3.6 6 6 6 909 0.8 3034 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Attribute	Area 1	Area 2	Area 3
Exec/Admin/Managerial		70490 %	119545 %	318796 %
Professional Specialty	Managerial/Prof. Spec	18215 25.8	34936 29.2	100058 31.4
Tech./Sales/Admn. Support 25577 36.3 42650 35.7 108046 33.9 Technician and Related 2500 3.5 4716 3.9 14322 4.5 Sales	Exec/Admin/Managerial	9027 12.8	17197 14.4	48863 15.3
Technician and Related				
Sales				
Administrative Support				
Service Occupation				
Private Household				
Protective Service				
Other Service				
Farming/Forestry/Fishing. 340 0.5 732 0.6 2493 0.8 Precision/Craft/Repair. 7615 10.8 13191 11.0 37024 11.6 Operator/Fabricators/Laborer. 9789 13.9 14625 12.2 37966 11.9 Machine Op/Assem./Inspect. 4767 6.8 7010 5.9 18853 5.9 Trans. & Material Moving. 2616 3.7 3937 3.3 9786 3.1 Handlers/Helpers/Laborers. 2406 3.4 3678 3.1 9327 2.9 2002 Industry-Employed Pop. 16+: 70490 % 119552 % 318809 % Agriculture/Forestry/Fisheries 402 0.6 909 0.8 3034 1.0 Mining. 20 0.0 124 0.1 335 0.1 Construction. 4386 6.2 7471 6.2 20594 6.5 Manufacturing-Nondurable Goods 3892 5.5 6512 5.4 17434 5.5 Manufacturing-Durable Goods. 8822 12.5 18028 15.1 59920 18.8 Transportation. 3036 4.3 4922 4.1 11323 3.6 Communications and Public Util 2363 3.4 3865 3.2 8306 2.6 Wholesales Trade. 4003 5.7 6691 5.6 16005 5.0 Retail Trade. 12790 18.1 20497 17.1 53286 16.7 Finance/Insurance/Real Estate. 6812 9.7 10794 9.0 23501 7.4 Business and Repair Services. 3563 5.1 6303 5.3 16403 5.1 Personal Services. 2118 3.0 3323 2.7 7932 2.5 Entertainment/Recreation Serv. 719 1.0 1223 1.0 3153 1.0 Professional and Related Serv. 15337 21.8 25353 21.2 66316 20.8 Public Administration. 2227 3.2 3628 3.0 11267 3.5 Travel in Under 10 Minutes. 12889 19.0 17761 15.5 44115 14.5 Travel in 10 to 14 Minutes. 16191 23.9 22195 19.4 50510 16.5 Travel in 50 to 19 Minutes. 2370 3.5 5982 5.2 2303 6.5 Travel in 50 to 9 Minutes. 2370 3.5 5982 5.2 2303 6.5 7.5 Travel in 60 to 89 Minutes. 2726 4.0 6229 5.4 18790 6.2 Travel in 60 to 89 Minutes. 2726 4.0 6229 5.4 18790 6.2 Travel in 60 to 89 Minutes. 2726 4.0 6229 5.4 18790 6.2 Travel by Driving Alone. 5427 78.3 94646 80.3 55363 81.2 Travel by Driving Alone. 5427 78.3 94646 80.3 55363 81.2 Travel by Driving Alone. 5427 78.3 94646 80.3 55363 81.2 Travel by Driving Alone. 5427 78.3 94646 80.3 55363 81.2 Travel by Public transport. 6907 1.3 1170 1.0 2542 0.8 Travel by Public transport. 9903 13.1 11761 10.0 2542 0.8 Travel by Carpool. 5427 78.3 94646 80.3 5774 0.7 2776 0.9				
Precision/Craft/Repair				
Operator/Fabricators/Laborer. 9789 13.9 14625 12.2 37966 11.9 Machine Op/Assem./Inspect. 4767 6.8 7010 5.9 18853 5.9 Trans. & Material Moving. 2616 3.7 3937 3.3 9786 3.1 Handlers/Helpers/Laborers. 2406 3.4 3678 3.1 9327 2.9 2002 Industry-Employed Pop. 16+: 70490 % 119552 % 318809 % Agriculture/Forestry/Fisheries 402 0.6 909 0.8 3034 1.0 Mining. 20 0.0 124 0.1 335 0.1 Construction. 4386 6.2 7471 6.2 20594 6.5 Manufacturing-Nondurable Goods 3892 5.5 6512 5.4 17434 5.5 Manufacturing-Durable Goods 3822 12.5 18028 15.1 59920 18.8 Transportation 3036 4.3 4922 4.1 11323 3.6 Communications and Public Util 2363 3.4 3865 3.2 8306 2.6 Wholesales Trade 4003 5.7 6691 5.6 16005 5.0 Retail Trade 12790 18.1 20497 17.1 53286 16.7 Finance/Insurance/Real Estate 6812 9.7 10794 9.0				
Machine Op/Assem./Inspect 4767 6.8 7010 5.9 18853 5.9 Trans. & Material Moving 2616 3.7 3937 3.3 9786 3.1 Handlers/Helpers/Laborers 2406 3.4 3678 3.1 9327 2.9 2002 Industry-Employed Pop. 16+: 70490 \$ 119552 \$ 318809 \$ Agriculture/Forestry/Fisheries 402 0.6 909 0.8 3034 1.0 Mining				
Trans. & Material Moving 2616 3.7 3937 3.3 9786 3.1 Handlers/Helpers/Laborers 2406 3.4 3678 3.1 9327 2.9 2002 Industry-Employed Pop. 16+: 70490 % 119552 % 318809 % Agriculture/Forestry/Fisheries 402 0.6 909 0.8 3034 1.0 Mining				
### Pandlers/Helpers/Laborers 2406 3.4 3678 3.1 9327 2.9 2002 Industry-Employed Pop. 16+: 70490 % 119552 % 318809 % Agriculture/Forestry/Fisheries 402 0.6 909 0.8 3034 1.0 Mining				
2002 Industry-Employed Pop. 16+:				
Agriculture/Forestry/Fisheries	nanuters/netpers/Laborers	2400 3.4	3070 3.1	9321 2.9
Mining	2002 Industry-Employed Pop. 16+:	70490 %	119552 %	318809 %
Construction	Agriculture/Forestry/Fisheries	402 0.6	909 0.8	3034 1.0
Manufacturing-Nondurable Goods 3892 5.5 6512 5.4 17434 5.5 Manufacturing-Durable Goods 8822 12.5 18028 15.1 59920 18.8 Transportation 3036 4.3 4922 4.1 11323 3.6 Communications and Public Util 2363 3.4 3865 3.2 8306 2.6 Wholesales Trade 4003 5.7 6691 5.6 16005 5.0 Retail Trade 12790 18.1 20497 17.1 53286 16.7 Finance/Insurance/Real Estate 6812 9.7 10794 9.0 23501 7.4 Business and Repair Services 3563 5.1 6303 5.3 16403 5.1 Personal Services 2118 3.0 3232 2.7 7932 2.5 Entertainment/Recreation Serv 719 1.0 1223 1.0 3153 1.0 Professional and Related Serv 15337 21.8 25353 21.2 66316 20.8 Public Administration 2227 3.2	Mining	20 0.0	124 0.1	335 0.1
Manufacturing-Durable Goods 8822 12.5 18028 15.1 59920 18.8 Transportation 3036 4.3 4922 4.1 11323 3.6 Communications and Public Util 2363 3.4 3865 3.2 8306 2.6 Wholesales Trade		4386 6.2	7471 6.2	20594 6.5
Transportation		3892 5.5	6512 5.4	17434 5.5
Communications and Public Util 2363 3.4 3865 3.2 8306 2.6 Wholesales Trade		8822 12.5	18028 15.1	59920 18.8
Wholesales Trade. 4003 5.7 6691 5.6 16005 5.0 Retail Trade. 12790 18.1 20497 17.1 53286 16.7 Finance/Insurance/Real Estate 6812 9.7 10794 9.0 23501 7.4 Business and Repair Services. 3563 5.1 6303 5.3 16403 5.1 Personal Services. 2118 3.0 3232 2.7 7932 2.5 Entertainment/Recreation Serv. 719 1.0 1223 1.0 3153 1.0 Professional and Related Serv. 15337 21.8 25353 21.2 66316 20.8 Public Administration. 2227 3.2 3628 3.0 11267 3.5 2002 Pop. by Travel Time to Work: 67677 % 114615 % 305254 % Travel in Under 10 Minutes. 12889 19.0 17761 15.5 44115 14.5 Travel in 10 to 14 Minutes. 16191 23.9 22195 19.4 50510 16.5 Travel in 20 to 29 Minutes. <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>				
Retail Trade				
Finance/Insurance/Real Estate. 6812 9.7 10794 9.0 23501 7.4 Business and Repair Services 3563 5.1 6303 5.3 16403 5.1 Personal Services				
Business and Repair Services 3563 5.1 6303 5.3 16403 5.1 Personal Services 2118 3.0 3232 2.7 7932 2.5 Entertainment/Recreation Serv. 719 1.0 1223 1.0 3153 1.0 Professional and Related Serv. 15337 21.8 25353 21.2 66316 20.8 Public Administration 2227 3.2 3628 3.0 11267 3.5 2002 Pop. by Travel Time to Work: 67677 % 114615 % 305254 % Travel in Under 10 Minutes 12889 19.0 17761 15.5 44115 14.5 Travel in 10 to 14 Minutes 16191 23.9 22195 19.4 50510 16.5 Travel in 15 to 19 Minutes 13861 20.5 21313 18.6 49867 16.3 Travel in 20 to 29 Minutes 10669 15.8 22123 19.3 57239 18.8 Travel in 45 to 59 Minutes 2370 3.5 5982 5.2 23036 7.5				
Personal Services				
Entertainment/Recreation Serv. 719 1.0 1223 1.0 3153 1.0 Professional and Related Serv. 15337 21.8 25353 21.2 66316 20.8 Public Administration	-			
Professional and Related Serv. 15337 21.8 25353 21.2 66316 20.8 Public Administration 2227 3.2 3628 3.0 11267 3.5 2002 Pop. by Travel Time to Work: 67677 % 114615 % 305254 % Travel in Under 10 Minutes 12889 19.0 17761 15.5 44115 14.5 Travel in 10 to 14 Minutes 16191 23.9 22195 19.4 50510 16.5 Travel in 15 to 19 Minutes 13861 20.5 21313 18.6 49867 16.3 Travel in 20 to 29 Minutes 10669 15.8 22123 19.3 57239 18.8 Travel in 30 to 44 Minutes 8070 11.9 17434 15.2 57238 18.8 Travel in 45 to 59 Minutes 2370 3.5 5982 5.2 23036 7.5 Travel in 60 to 89 Minutes 2726 4.0 6229 5.4 18790 6.2 Travel in 90 Minutes and Over. 901 1.3 1578 1.4 4459 1.5 2002 Pop. by Transport. to Work: 69357 % 117793 % 314293 % Travel by Carpool 9093 13.1 14515 12.3 36728 11.7 Travel by Public transport 907 1.3 1170 1.0 2542 0.8 Travel by Walking Only 2855 4.1 3511				
Public Administration 2227 3.2 3628 3.0 11267 3.5 2002 Pop. by Travel Time to Work: 67677 % 114615 % 305254 % Travel in Under 10 Minutes 12889 19.0 17761 15.5 44115 14.5 Travel in 10 to 14 Minutes 16191 23.9 22195 19.4 50510 16.5 Travel in 15 to 19 Minutes 13861 20.5 21313 18.6 49867 16.3 Travel in 20 to 29 Minutes 10669 15.8 22123 19.3 57239 18.8 Travel in 30 to 44 Minutes 8070 11.9 17434 15.2 57238 18.8 Travel in 45 to 59 Minutes 2370 3.5 5982 5.2 23036 7.5 Travel in 60 to 89 Minutes 2726 4.0 6229 5.4 18790 6.2 Travel in 90 Minutes and Over. 901 1.3 1578 1.4 4459 1.5 2002 Pop. by Transport. to Work: 69357 % 117793 % 314293 % Travel by Driving Alone 54277 78.3 94646 80.3 255363 81.2 Travel by Public transport 907 1.3 1170 1.0 2542 0.8 Travel by Walking Only 2855 4.1 3511 3.0 7851 2.5 Travel by Other Means 548 0.8 774 0.7 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
2002 Pop. by Travel Time to Work: 67677 % 114615 % 305254 % Travel in Under 10 Minutes 12889 19.0 17761 15.5 44115 14.5 Travel in 10 to 14 Minutes 16191 23.9 22195 19.4 50510 16.5 Travel in 15 to 19 Minutes 13861 20.5 21313 18.6 49867 16.3 Travel in 20 to 29 Minutes 10669 15.8 22123 19.3 57239 18.8 Travel in 30 to 44 Minutes 8070 11.9 17434 15.2 57238 18.8 Travel in 45 to 59 Minutes 2370 3.5 5982 5.2 23036 7.5 Travel in 60 to 89 Minutes 2726 4.0 6229 5.4 18790 6.2 Travel in 90 Minutes and Over. 901 1.3 1578 1.4 4459 1.5 2002 Pop. by Transport. to Work: 69357 % 117793 % 314293 % Travel by Driving Alone 54277 78.3 94646 80.3 255363 81.2 Travel by Carpool 9093 13.1 14515 12.3 36728 11.7 Travel by Public transport 907 1.3 1170 1.0 2542 0.8 Travel by Walking Only 2855 4.1 3511 3.0 7851 2.5 Travel by Other Means 548 0.8 774 0.7 2776 0.9				
Travel in Under 10 Minutes 12889 19.0 17761 15.5 44115 14.5 Travel in 10 to 14 Minutes 16191 23.9 22195 19.4 50510 16.5 Travel in 15 to 19 Minutes 13861 20.5 21313 18.6 49867 16.3 Travel in 20 to 29 Minutes 10669 15.8 22123 19.3 57239 18.8 Travel in 30 to 44 Minutes 8070 11.9 17434 15.2 57238 18.8 Travel in 45 to 59 Minutes 2370 3.5 5982 5.2 23036 7.5 Travel in 60 to 89 Minutes 2726 4.0 6229 5.4 18790 6.2 Travel in 90 Minutes and Over. 901 1.3 1578 1.4 4459 1.5 2002 Pop. by Transport. to Work: 69357 % 117793 % 314293 % Travel by Driving Alone 54277 78.3 94646 80.3 255363 81.2 Travel by Carpool 9093 13.1 14515 12.3 36728 11.7 Travel by Public transport 907 1.3 1170 1.0 2542 0.8 Travel by Walking Only 2855 4.1 3511 3.0 7851 2.5 Travel by Other Means 548 0.8 774 0.7 2776 0.9	Public Administration	2221 3.2	3628 3.0	1126/ 3.5
Travel in 10 to 14 Minutes 16191 23.9 22195 19.4 50510 16.5 Travel in 15 to 19 Minutes 13861 20.5 21313 18.6 49867 16.3 Travel in 20 to 29 Minutes 10669 15.8 22123 19.3 57239 18.8 Travel in 30 to 44 Minutes 8070 11.9 17434 15.2 57238 18.8 Travel in 45 to 59 Minutes 2370 3.5 5982 5.2 23036 7.5 Travel in 60 to 89 Minutes 2726 4.0 6229 5.4 18790 6.2 Travel in 90 Minutes and Over. 901 1.3 1578 1.4 4459 1.5 2002 Pop. by Transport. to Work: 69357 % 117793 % 314293 % Travel by Driving Alone 54277 78.3 94646 80.3 255363 81.2 Travel by Carpool 9093 13.1 14515 12.3 36728 11.7 Travel by Public transport 907 1.3 1170 1.0 2542 0.8 Travel by Walking Only 2855 4.1 3511 3.0 7851 2.5 Travel by Other Means 548 0.8 774 0.7 2776 0.9				
Travel in 15 to 19 Minutes 13861 20.5 21313 18.6 49867 16.3 Travel in 20 to 29 Minutes 10669 15.8 22123 19.3 57239 18.8 Travel in 30 to 44 Minutes 8070 11.9 17434 15.2 57238 18.8 Travel in 45 to 59 Minutes 2370 3.5 5982 5.2 23036 7.5 Travel in 60 to 89 Minutes 2726 4.0 6229 5.4 18790 6.2 Travel in 90 Minutes and Over. 901 1.3 1578 1.4 4459 1.5 2002 Pop. by Transport. to Work: 69357 % 117793 % 314293 % Travel by Driving Alone 54277 78.3 94646 80.3 255363 81.2 Travel by Carpool 9093 13.1 14515 12.3 36728 11.7 Travel by Public transport 907 1.3 1170 1.0 2542 0.8 Travel by Walking Only 2855 4.1 3511 3.0 7851 2.5 Travel by Other Means 548 0.8 774 0.7 2776 0.9				
Travel in 20 to 29 Minutes 10669 15.8 22123 19.3 57239 18.8 Travel in 30 to 44 Minutes 8070 11.9 17434 15.2 57238 18.8 Travel in 45 to 59 Minutes 2370 3.5 5982 5.2 23036 7.5 Travel in 60 to 89 Minutes 2726 4.0 6229 5.4 18790 6.2 Travel in 90 Minutes and Over. 901 1.3 1578 1.4 4459 1.5 2002 Pop. by Transport. to Work: 69357 % 117793 % 314293 % Travel by Driving Alone 54277 78.3 94646 80.3 255363 81.2 Travel by Carpool 9093 13.1 14515 12.3 36728 11.7 Travel by Public transport 907 1.3 1170 1.0 2542 0.8 Travel by Walking Only 2855 4.1 3511 3.0 7851 2.5 Travel by Other Means 548 0.8 774 0.7 2776 0.9				
Travel in 30 to 44 Minutes 8070 11.9 17434 15.2 57238 18.8 Travel in 45 to 59 Minutes 2370 3.5 5982 5.2 23036 7.5 Travel in 60 to 89 Minutes 2726 4.0 6229 5.4 18790 6.2 Travel in 90 Minutes and Over. 901 1.3 1578 1.4 4459 1.5 2002 Pop. by Transport. to Work: 69357 % 117793 % 314293 % Travel by Driving Alone 54277 78.3 94646 80.3 255363 81.2 Travel by Carpool 9093 13.1 14515 12.3 36728 11.7 Travel by Public transport 907 1.3 1170 1.0 2542 0.8 Travel by Walking Only 2855 4.1 3511 3.0 7851 2.5 Travel by Other Means 548 0.8 774 0.7 2776 0.9				
Travel in 45 to 59 Minutes 2370 3.5 5982 5.2 23036 7.5 Travel in 60 to 89 Minutes 2726 4.0 6229 5.4 18790 6.2 Travel in 90 Minutes and Over. 901 1.3 1578 1.4 4459 1.5 2002 Pop. by Transport. to Work: 69357 % 117793 % 314293 % Travel by Driving Alone 54277 78.3 94646 80.3 255363 81.2 Travel by Carpool 9093 13.1 14515 12.3 36728 11.7 Travel by Public transport 907 1.3 1170 1.0 2542 0.8 Travel by Walking Only 2855 4.1 3511 3.0 7851 2.5 Travel by Other Means 548 0.8 774 0.7 2776 0.9				
Travel in 60 to 89 Minutes 2726 4.0 6229 5.4 18790 6.2 Travel in 90 Minutes and Over. 901 1.3 1578 1.4 4459 1.5 2002 Pop. by Transport. to Work: 69357 % 117793 % 314293 % Travel by Driving Alone 54277 78.3 94646 80.3 255363 81.2 Travel by Carpool 9093 13.1 14515 12.3 36728 11.7 Travel by Public transport 907 1.3 1170 1.0 2542 0.8 Travel by Walking Only 2855 4.1 3511 3.0 7851 2.5 Travel by Other Means 548 0.8 774 0.7 2776 0.9				
Travel in 90 Minutes and Over. 901 1.3 1578 1.4 4459 1.5 2002 Pop. by Transport. to Work: 69357 % 117793 % 314293 % Travel by Driving Alone 54277 78.3 94646 80.3 255363 81.2 Travel by Carpool 9093 13.1 14515 12.3 36728 11.7 Travel by Public transport 907 1.3 1170 1.0 2542 0.8 Travel by Walking Only 2855 4.1 3511 3.0 7851 2.5 Travel by Other Means 548 0.8 774 0.7 2776 0.9				
2002 Pop. by Transport. to Work: 69357 % 117793 % 314293 % Travel by Driving Alone 54277 78.3 94646 80.3 255363 81.2 Travel by Carpool 9093 13.1 14515 12.3 36728 11.7 Travel by Public transport 907 1.3 1170 1.0 2542 0.8 Travel by Walking Only 2855 4.1 3511 3.0 7851 2.5 Travel by Other Means 548 0.8 774 0.7 2776 0.9				
Travel by Driving Alone 54277 78.3 94646 80.3 255363 81.2 Travel by Carpool 9093 13.1 14515 12.3 36728 11.7 Travel by Public transport 907 1.3 1170 1.0 2542 0.8 Travel by Walking Only 2855 4.1 3511 3.0 7851 2.5 Travel by Other Means 548 0.8 774 0.7 2776 0.9	fraver in 90 Minutes and Over.	901 1.3	13/0 1.4	4439 1.3
Travel by Carpool		69357 %	117793 %	314293 %
Travel by Public transport 907 1.3 1170 1.0 2542 0.8 Travel by Walking Only 2855 4.1 3511 3.0 7851 2.5 Travel by Other Means 548 0.8 774 0.7 2776 0.9		54277 78.3		
Travel by Walking Only 2855 4.1 3511 3.0 7851 2.5 Travel by Other Means 548 0.8 774 0.7 2776 0.9				
Travel by Other Means 548 0.8 774 0.7 2776 0.9				
-				
Working at Home	-			
	Working at Home	1677 2.4	3177 2.7	9033 2.9

8-JAN-03

Support (800)780-4237

Marketview Comparison Report (Page 8 of 10)

Claritas Inc.

Sales (800)234-5973

Area 1 = CITY OF MANCHESTER: 5 MI Area 2 = CITY OF MANCHESTER: 10 MI

Area 3 = CITY OF MANCHESTER: 20 MI

Attribute	Area 1		Area 2	2	Area 3	3
2002 Housing Units:	56154	·=== = 응	88132	%	230523	%
Owner-Occupied Housing Units	27868	49.6	53607	60.8	151969	65.9
Renter-Occupied Housing Units.	26316		31841		70399	
Vacant Housing Units	1970	3.5	2684	3.0	8155	3.5
2002 Specified Owner-Occ.						
Housing Units by Value:	21366	용	42332	용	116861	용
Under \$ 25,000	85	0.4	117	0.3	233	0.2
\$25,000 to \$49,999	94	0.4	117	0.3	377	0.3
\$50,000 to \$74,999 \$75,000 to \$99,999	221 609	2.9	290 813	1.9	777 1909	1.6
\$100,000 to \$149,999	5859			19.1	16966	
\$150,000 to \$199,999	7371		13298		33072	
\$200,000 to \$299,999	4811	22.5	13786		43617	37.3
\$300,000 to \$399,999	1409	6.6	3766	8.9	12890	11.0
\$400,000 to \$499,999	464	2.2	1155	2.7	3952	3.4
\$500,000 and Over	443	2.1	918	2.2	3068	2.6
Median Housing Value	172552		193962		208995	
1990 Specified Renter-Occupied						
Units by Gross Rent:	22716	%	27587	%	60019	8
With Cash Rent	22365		27131		58855	
Less than \$100\$100 to \$149	180 788	0.8 3.5	230 869	0.8 3.2	361 1507	0.6 2.5
\$150 to \$199	515	2.3	592	2.1	1150	1.9
\$200 to \$249	665	2.9	743	2.7	1487	2.5
\$250 to \$299	463	2.0	525	1.9	1022	1.7
\$300 to \$399	2029	8.9	2200	8.0	4087	6.8
\$400 to \$499	4350			17.8	8970	
\$500 to \$599	5415			23.6	11871	
\$600 to \$749 \$750 to \$999	5370 2095	9.2		22.9	15285 9274	
\$1,000 or More	495	2.2	1124	4.1	3841	6.4
No Cash Rent	351	1.5	456	1.7	1164	1.9
2002 Households by Vehicles:	54184	%	85448	90	222368	90
0 Vehicles	6093		6830	8.0	13229	5.9
1 Vehicle Available	19836		26469		64465	
2 Vehicles Available	21371	39.4	37887	44.3	102584	46.1
3 Vehicles Available	5098	9.4	10349		30350	
4 Vehicles Available	1388	2.6	3023	3.5	8615	3.9
5+ Vehicles Available	398	0.7	890	1.0	3125	1.4
2002 Housing Units by						
Number of Units in Structure:	56151	용	88134	િ	230533	%
Single Detached Unit	23332		45698		131860	
Single Attached Unit Structures with 2 Units	1992 7220		4794 8394	5.4 9.5	12620 18338	5.5 8.0
Structures with 2 Units Structures w/ 3-4 Units	7032		7946	9.0	14731	6.4
Structures w/ 5-9 Units		9.2	6180		11743	
Structures w/ 10-19 Units	2759		3503	4.0	10188	
Structures w/ 20-49 Units	6441		7838	8.9	16263	7.1
Structures w/ 50+ Units	1217	2.2	1290	1.5	2524	1.1
Mobile Homes/Trailers or Other	993	1.8	2491	2.8	12266	5.3

8-JAN-03

Support (800)780-4237

Marketview Comparison Report (Page 9 of 10)

Claritas Inc. Support (800)780-4237

8-JAN-03

Sales (800)234-5973

Area 1 = CITY OF MANCHESTER: 5 MI Area 2 = CITY OF MANCHESTER: 10 MI Area 3 = CITY OF MANCHESTER: 20 MI

Attribute		Area 2	Area 3
2002 Housing Units by Year Built:	56154 %	88132	\$ 230523 %
Built 1989 to March 1990	6735 12.	0 13389 15	.2 36721 15.9
Built 1985 to 1988	5392 9.	6 11030 12	.5 32114 13.9
Built 1980 to 1984	4765 8.	5 8371 9	.5 23853 10.3
Built 1970 to 1979	6811 12.	1 14297 16	.2 43119 18.7
Built 1960 to 1969	4848 8.	6 8439 9	.6 25536 11.1
Built 1950 to 1959	6282 11.	2 7537 8	.6 16208 7.0
Built 1940 to 1949	3883 6.	9 4704 5	.3 9321 4.0
Built 1939 or Earlier	17438 31.	1 20365 23	.1 43651 18.9
2002 HUs by Year Moved In:	54182 %	85450	b 222357 %
Moved in 1989 to March 1990	12840 23.	7 17586 20	.6 42856 19.3
Moved in 1985 to 1988	16398 30.	3 28029 32	.8 76179 34.3
Moved in 1980 to 1984	7497 13.	8 12924 15	.1 34780 15.6
Moved in 1970 to 1979	7682 14.	2 13903 16	.3 38515 17.3
Moved in 1969 or Earlier	9765 18.	0 13008 15	.2 30027 13.5

Marketview Comparison Report (Page 10 of 10)

Claritas Inc.

Sales (800)234-5973

Area 1 = CITY OF MANCHESTER: 5 MI Area 2 = CITY OF MANCHESTER: 10 MI Area 3 = CITY OF MANCHESTER: 20 MI

Attribute	Area 1	Area 2	Area 3
2002 Expenditures by Selected Production Categories (in thousands of dollars):			U.S. (\$000s) Index
Food at Home Food Away From Home Alcoholic Beverages at Home Alcoholic Beverages Away From Home Personal Care Products Personal Care Services Nonprescription Drugs	\$264311 105 \$249833 109 \$39718 105 \$46539 112 \$26665 100 \$17787 100 \$9925 98	\$429473 119 \$68383 115 \$81313 124 \$45075 107	\$1185260 115 \$1163077 123 \$185450 120 \$222074 131 \$121560 111 \$86185 119 \$43557 104
Women's Apparel Men's Apparel Girls' Apparel Boys' Apparel Infants' Apparel Footwear (Excl. Infants)	\$66164 99 \$43148 103 \$12891 91 \$10687 88 \$6695 101 \$21898 95	\$25341 113 \$20768 109 \$11986 115	\$340855 125 \$223389 129 \$72753 125 \$59408 119 \$33231 122 \$111715 118
Housekeeping Supplies Lawn/Garden Supplies (Incl. Plants) Housekeeping Services Household Textiles Furniture Floor Coverings Major Appliances Small Appliances & Houseware TV, Radio & Sound Equipment Transportation	\$16847 102 \$6348 84 \$8577 90 \$26349 93 \$33120 93 \$1903 85 \$16863 93 \$30636 91 \$78247 99 \$268532 88	\$4029 115 \$31713 110 \$58909 112 \$141937 113	\$77769 114 \$33292 108 \$47498 121 \$147306 127 \$189559 130 \$12187 133 \$90656 121 \$169761 124 \$397677 122 \$1504412 120
2002 Expenditures by Selected Store Type (in thousands of dollars):	U.S. (\$000s) Index		U.S. (\$000s) Index
Building Materials & Garden Equip. Hardware Stores Lawn/Garden Equipment/Supply Store Home Centers Gasoline Stations w/Convenience Str Gasoline Stations w/out Conven. Str Grocery Stores Drug and Proprietry Stores Eating Places Drinking Places Department Stores (Excl. Leased) Apparel Stores Shoe Stores Furniture Home Furnishing Stores Household Appliance Stores Radio/TV/Other Electronics Stores Computer & Software Stores Electronic Shopping & Mail Order	\$34160 89 \$3697 92 \$5214 88 \$8532 89 \$78115 104 \$29975 101 \$288700 105 \$63875 87 \$193441 110 \$16927 112 \$140851 96 \$98987 97 \$11505 96 \$29752 92 \$21601 88 \$9554 94 \$18043 93 \$6803 96 \$38178 96	\$6994 110 \$9969 107 \$16595 110 \$132337 112 \$52029 111 \$484956 112 \$108614 94 \$330474 119 \$29566 124 \$258989 112 \$185509 115 \$21070 111 \$58354 115 \$43262 112 \$17826 111 \$33503 110 \$13074 117	\$193265 122 \$19936 121 \$28308 117 \$48060 122 \$355146 115 \$141137 116 \$1298657 115 \$295870 99 \$891083 124 \$80767 130 \$728466 121 \$525855 125 \$58867 119 \$169965 129 \$127100 127 \$50748 121 \$94930 119 \$37651 129 \$196967 120

8-JAN-03

Support (800) 780-4237

Demographic Comparison Report (Page 1 of 5) Claritas Inc. Sales (800)234-5973 Area 1 = USA

13-NOV-02 Support (800)780-4237

Attribute	Area 1	L			
Population: 5Y Total	286815104 281421920 248709872 1.9				
Households: 5Y Total	107753800 105480104 91947408				
Av. HH Size: 5Y	2.59				
CY Group Quarters Population	7799323				
Families: 5Y Total CY Total 2000 Total % Change 2000-CY	72878136 71787344				
Housing Units: 5Y Total CY Total 2000 Total	115904640				
CY Population by Single Classific	ation Censu	ıs Race	and	Ethni 	city
Total White Alone Black or African American Alone American Indian or Alaska Native Alone Asian Alone Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific	286815108 213579900 35606989 2610306 10936905	74.5 12.4 0.9			
Islander Alone Some Other Race Alone Two or More Races	415672 16490382 7174960	5.7			

Demographic Comparison Report (Page 2 of 5) Claritas Inc. Sales (800)234-5973 Area 1 = USA

13-NOV-02 Support (800)780-4237

Attribute	Area 1	1
Hispanic or Latino Population	37673412	%
White Alone	17969660	47.7
Black or African American Alone	737161	2.0
American Indian or		
Alaska Native Alone	455876	1.2
Asian Alone	122552	0.3
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific		
Islander Alone	47285	0.1
Some Other Race Alone	15969025	42.4
Two or More Races	2371852	6.3
Not Hispanic or Latino Population	249141696	용
White Alone	195610240	78.5
Black or African American Alone	34869828	14.0
American Indian or		
Alaska Native Alone	2154430	0.9
Asian Alone	10814353	4.3
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific		
Islander Alone	368387	0.1
Some Other Race Alone	521357	0.2
Two or More Races	4803108	1.9
CY Population by Age:	286815104	용
0 to 4 Years	19297902	6.7
5 to 9 Years	20325588	7.1
10 to 14 Years	21351044	7.4
15 to 19 Years	20650806	7.2
20 to 24 Years	19901836	6.9
25 to 34 Years	39343076	13.7
35 to 44 Years	44485916	15.5
45 to 54 Years	39810948	13.9
55 to 59 Years	14951530	5.2
60 to 64 Years	11494412	4.0
65 to 74 Years	18153774	6.3
75 to 84 Years	12458672	4.3
85 Years and Over	4589615	1.6
Median Age (in Years)	35.6	
CY Households by Age:	107753816	90
15 to 24 Years	6068028	5.6
25 to 34 Years	18048780	16.8
35 to 44 Years	23581678	21.9
45 to 54 Years	22467998	20.9
55 to 64 Years	15507428	14.4
65 to 74 Years	11355878	10.5
75 Years and Over	10724014	10.0
70 ICAID AMA OVCI	10/21017	±0.0

Demographic Comparison Report (Page 3 of 5) Claritas Inc. Sales (800)234-5973 Area 1 = USA

13-NOV-02 Support (800)780-4237

Attribute	Area 1
Per Capita Inc.: CY	\$24636 \$14386 71.2
Avg. Hhld Inc.: CY	\$64338 \$38472 67.2
Med. Hhld Inc.: CY	\$47065 \$30055 56.6
Med. Fam. Hhld Inc.: CY	\$56334 \$35764 57.5
CY Average Household Net Worth CY Median Household Net Worth.	\$190052 \$97957
CY Households by Hhld Income: Less than \$ 15,000 \$ 15,000 to \$ 24,999 \$ 25,000 to \$ 34,999 \$ 35,000 to \$ 49,999 \$ 50,000 to \$ 74,999 \$ 75,000 to \$ 99,999 \$ 100,000 to \$149,999 \$ 150,000 to \$249,999 \$ 250,000 to \$499,999 \$ 500,000 and Over	107753824
CY Fam. Hhlds by Fam. Hhld Inc: Less than \$ 15,000 \$ 15,000 to \$ 24,999 \$ 25,000 to \$ 34,999 \$ 35,000 to \$ 49,999 \$ 50,000 to \$ 74,999 \$ 75,000 to \$ 99,999 \$ 100,000 to \$149,999 \$ 150,000 to \$249,999 \$ 250,000 to \$499,999	72878136 % 5333902 7.3 7184939 9.9 7906201 10.8 11407763 15.7 16538654 22.7 10281439 14.1 8315266 11.4 4350589 6.0 1094756 1.5 464626 0.6

Demographic Comparison Report (Page 4 of 5) Claritas Inc. Sales (800)234-5973 Area 1 = USA

13-NOV-02 Support (800)780-4237

Attribute	Area	1	
CY Households by Hhld Net Worth: Less than \$25,000 \$25,000 to \$49,999 \$50,000 to \$74,999 \$75,000 to \$99,999 \$100,000 to \$149,999 \$150,000 to \$249,999	107753800 31576988 8692124 6757796 7459506 10684878 14543627	8.1 6.3 6.9 9.9	
CY Households by Hhld Type:	107753802	8 11 2	
Male Householder	12154257 15935505		
Married Couple Family,	13933303	14.0	
Own Children	25292817	23.5	
Married Couple Family,			
No Children	30138610	28.0	
Other Family HH (No Wife)	2215072	2.1	
Other Family HH (no Husband)	7632064	7.1	
Other Family HH (No Wife),			
No Children	2220595	2.1	
Other Family HH (no Husband),	F270077	F 0	
No Children	5378977		
Non-Family, Male Householder Non-Family, Female Householder	3972818 2813087		
Non ramily, remare nouseholder	2013007	2.0	
CY Marital status:			
For Population 15+ Years:	225840578	용	
Never Married			
Now Married (Exc. Separated)	126704717	56.1	
Divorced, Separated, or Widowed	40152260	17.8	
CV Educational Attainment for			
CY Educational Attainment for Population 25+ Years:	105007020	90	
Less than 9th Grade	185287938 18631600		
Some High School, No Diploma	25924928		
High School Graduate or GED	55253443		
Some College, No Degree	35355230		
Associate Degree	11651005		
Bachelor's Degree	24994901		
Graduate or Prof. Degree	13476831		
CY Pop. Age 16+, In Labor Force:	146272435		
Civilian Employed Males	74143454		
Civilian Employed Females	61592257		
Persons in Armed Forces	1713783		
Persons Unemployed	8822941		
2002 ostimatos and 2007 proje			

2002 estimates and 2007 projections produced by Claritas Inc. Copyright 2002 Claritas Inc. Arlington, VA

Demographic Comparison Report (Page 5 of 5) Claritas Inc. Sales (800)234-5973 Area 1 = USA

13-NOV-02 Support (800)780-4237

Attribute	Area 1
CY Specified Owner-Occ Housing Units by Value: Under \$ 25,000 \$25,000 to \$49,999 \$50,000 to \$74,999 \$75,000 to \$99,999 \$100,000 to \$149,999 \$150,000 to \$199,999 \$200,000 to \$299,999 \$300,000 to \$399,999 \$400,000 to \$499,999 \$500,000 and Over Median Housing Value.	53851152
CY Housing Units by Number of Units in Structure: Single Detached Unit Single Attached Unit Structures with 2 Units Structures w/ 3-4 Units Structures w/ 5-9 Units Structures w/ 10-19 Units Structures w/ 20+ Units Mobile Homes or Trailers Other Structures	118418442
CY Housing Units by Year Built: Built 1989 to Present Built 1985 to 1988 Built 1980 to 1984 Built 1970 to 1979 Built 1960 to 1969 Built 1959 or Earlier	118418442
CY Households by Vehicles: 0 Vehicles	107753802
CY HUs by Year Moved In: Moved in 0-1 year ago Moved in 2-5 years ago Moved in 6-10 years ago Moved in 11-20 years ago Moved in 21-30 years ago Moved in 31 years ago or more.	107753802

Exhibit 3. Population Analysis Ranked by MSA Population

League	Team	Metro Area	Venue	2001	2001	Total	Total
•				Population (MSA)	Households {1}	Retail Sales	Effective Buying Income {2}
Eastern League (AA)	Bowie Baysox	Bowie, MD^	Prince George's Stadium	4,975,700	1,869,800	\$63,408,444	\$123,930,677
Eastern League (AA)	New Britain Rock Cats	New Britain, CT**	New Britain Stadium	1,150,500	440,000	\$14,899,530	\$26,787,006
Eastern League (AA)	New Haven Ravens	New Haven, CT	Yale Field	825,500	316,600	\$11,509,267	\$17,967,739
Eastern League (AA)	Akron Aeros	Akron, OH	Canal Park	697,800	272,400	\$9,381,341	\$13,035,314
Eastern League (AA)	Harrisburg Senators	Harrisburg, PA	Riverside Stadium	632,500	247,200	\$9,305,222	\$12,980,578
Eastern League (AA)	Manchester	Manchester, NH	TBD	384,200	146,100	\$6,958,306	\$8,459,383
Eastern League (AA)	Reading Phillies	Reading, PA	First Energy Stadium	376,400	145,300	\$4,495,685	\$7,080,026
Eastern League (AA)	Trenton Thunder	Trenton, NJ	Mercer Co. Waterfront Park	352,600	127,400	\$4,399,231	\$8,757,456
Eastern League (AA)	Erie Seawolves	Erie, PA	Jerry Uht Park	281,200	105,600	\$3,311,320	\$4,642,981
Eastern League (AA)	Portland Sea Dogs	Portland, ME	Hadlock Field	267,300	107,400	\$6,059,891	\$5,526,289
Eastern League (AA)	Norwich Navigators	Norwich, CT	Thomas J. Dodd Memorial Stadium	259,400	96,600	\$3,198,140	\$5,701,746
Eastern League (AA)	Binghamton Mets	Binghamton, NY	NYSEG Stadium	251,400	96,300	\$3,213,693	\$3,739,117
Eastern League (AA)	Altoona Curve	Altoona, PA	Blair County Ballpark	129,000	50,600	\$1,990,714	\$2,105,032
			AVERACE	849 942	122 033	\$11 264 373	610 354 407

	,						
Eastern League (AA)	Binghamton Mets	Binghamton, NY	NYSEG Stadium	251,400	96,300	\$3,213,693	\$3,739,117
Eastern League (AA)	Altoona Curve	Altoona, PA	Blair County Ballpark	129,000	20,600	\$1,990,714	\$2,105,032
			AVERAGE	849,942	322,933	\$11,264,373	\$19,354,497
			AVERAGE w/o LOW AND HIGH	509,460	195,480	\$6,977,332	\$10,621,825
Selected New England Franchises	nchises						
Leagne	Team	Metro Area	Venue	2001	2001	Total	Total
				Population (MSA)	Households {1}	Retail Sales	Effective Buying Income {2}
New York - Penn (A)	Lowell Spinners	Lowell, MA*	Edward LeLacheur Park	4,018,100	1,525,700	\$59,744,476	\$97,731,820
Eastern League (AA)	New Britain Rock Cats	New Britain, CT**	New Britain Stadium	1,150,500	440,000	\$14,899,530	\$26,787,006
Northern Division (AAA)	Pawtucket Redsox	Pawtucket, RI	McCoy Stadium	966,400	367,900	\$10,421,188	\$17,336,762
Atlantic League (IND)	Bridgeport Bluefish	Bridgeport, CT	Harbor Yard	886,700	330,100	\$17,735,044	\$32,307,693
Eastern League (AA)	New Haven Ravens	New Haven, CT	Yale Field	825,500	316,600	\$11,509,267	\$17,967,739
Eastern League (AA)	Manchester	Manchester, NH	TBD	384,200	146,100	\$6,958,306	\$8,459,383
Atlantic League (IND)	Nashua Pride	Nashua, NH	Holman Stadium	384,200	146,100	\$6,958,306	\$8,459,383
Eastern League (AA)	Norwich Navigators	Norwich, CT	Thomas J. Dodd Memorial Stadium	259,400	96,600	\$3,198,140	\$5,701,746
New York - Penn (A)	Vermont Expos	Winooski, VT***	Centennial Field	200,500	76,400	\$3,387,490	\$3,872,179
			AVGERAGE	965,700	366,600	\$14,205,938	\$23,351,592
			AVERAGE w/o LOW AND HIGH	559,088	212,163	\$8,113,853	\$13,570,041

		•		anakeaa	2016	and a state of	Table 1 about
Other "Shadow" Market Franchises	ranchises						
Leagne	Team	Metro Area	Venue	2001	2001	Total	Total
				Population (MSA)	Households {1}	Retail Sales	Effective Buying Income {2}
Atlantic League (IND)	Long Island Ducks	Central Islip, NY^^	EAB Park	21,227,400	7,765,700	\$256,642,339	\$478,608,328
Atlantic League (IND)	Camden Riversharks	Camden, NJ***	Camden Ballpark	5,114,300	1,897,100	\$65,740,609	\$113,812,387
Northern League (IND)	St. Paul Saints	St. Paul, MN	Midway Stadium	3,001,200	1,142,800	\$54,213,658	\$65,335,911
Atlantic League (IND)	Newark Bears	Newark, NJ	Riverfront Stadium	2,041,800	731,600	\$28,496,773	\$48,768,811
Atlantic League (IND)	Somerset Patriots	Bridgewater, NJ^^^^	Commerce Bank Ballpark	1,180,900	428,100	\$15,055,407	\$31,184,147
Midwest League (A)	Dayton Dragons	Dayton, OH	Fifth Third Field	950,500	371,100	\$12,245,996	\$18,097,448
Northeast League (IND)	New Jersey Jackals	Montclair, NJ^^^	Yogi Berra Stadium	613,200	227,900	\$5,478,900	\$11,059,288
New York - Penn (A)	Mahoning Valley	Warren, OH	Cafaro Field	594,300	231,900	\$6,932,874	\$9,074,644
Eastern League (AA)	Manchester	Manchester, NH	TBD	384,200	146,100	\$6,958,306	\$8,459,383
			AVERAGE	4.340.450	1.599.525	\$55.600.820	\$96.992.621

\$48,042,999

\$30,447,553

799,767

2,150,317

AVERAGE w/o LOW AND HIGH

[1] - "Households" does not include persons living in group quarters (e.g. students), although the income of such persons is included in total Effective Buying Income: [2] - Effective Buying Income is defined by Sales and Marketing Management as "money income less personal tax and nontax payments" or "disposable' or 'after-tax' income". Source: 2001 Survey of Buying Power

*Boston
** Hartford
***Philadelphia
****Burlington

^Washington ^New York City ^^^Jersey City

Exhibit 4. Market Wealth Analysis

Ranked by Effective Buying Income (EBI)

Eastern League		Households B	By Effective Buying	Income {1}		
Metro Area	Median Household	\$20,000 - \$34,999	\$35,000 - \$49,999	\$50,000 & Over	Buying Power	Total
	Effective Buying Income {1}	Percent	Percent	Percent	Index (BPI)	Retail Sales
Bowie, MD^	\$57,056	14.8%	15.7%	58.1%	2.0550	\$63,408,444
New Britain, CT**	\$52,868	15.6%	15.5%	53.1%	0.4592	\$14,899,530
Manchester, NH	<i>\$51,967</i>	15.8%	<i>16.7%</i>	52.5%	0.1650	\$6,958,306
Harrisburg, PA	\$44,970	19.9%	18.7%	44.1%	0.2449	\$9,305,222
Norwich, CT	\$41,623	17.0%	17.2%	50.7%	0.0990	\$3,198,140
Trenton, NJ	\$39,733	14.7%	13.9%	56.8%	0.1446	\$4,399,231
Akron, OH	\$39,297	20.7%	17.5%	37.9%	0.2507	\$9,381,341
Binghamton, NY	\$38,828	24.8%	18.1%	28.2%	0.0798	\$3,213,693
Erie, PA	\$35,893	22.50%	18.90%	32.20%	0.0913	\$3,311,320
New Haven, CT	\$35,284	16.2%	16.0%	49.2%	0.3243	\$11,509,267
Portland, ME	\$34,786	20.3%	18.3%	42.0%	0.1213	\$6,059,891
Altoona, PA	\$33,642	23.7%	18.4%	29.6%	0.0455	\$190,714
Reading, PA	\$30,178	20.4%	18.6%	40.4%	0.1311	\$4,495,685
AVERAGE	40,347	19.2%	17.2%	43.5%	0.3372	\$11,114,373

elected New England T	eams	Households E	By Effective Buying	Income {1}		
Metro Area	Median Household	\$20,000 - \$34,999	\$35,000 - \$49,999	\$50,000 & Over	Buying Power	Total
	Effective Buying Income {1}	Percent	Percent	Percent	Index	Retail Sales
Bridgeport, CT	\$75,312	10.4%	10.7%	67.8%	0.5167	\$17,735,044
Lowell, MA*	\$54,992	14.0%	13.9%	54.7%	1.7071	\$59,744,476
New Britain, CT**	\$52,868	15.6%	15.5%	53.1%	0.4592	\$14,899,530
Manchester, NH	<i>\$51,967</i>	15.8%	16.7%	52.5%	0.1650	\$6,958,306
Winooski, VT****	\$43,881	20.1%	17.7%	43.0%	0.0789	\$3,387,490
Nashua, NH	\$43,477	15.8%	16.7%	52.5%	0.1650	\$6,958,306
Norwich, CT	\$41,623	17.0%	17.2%	50.7%	0.0990	\$3,198,140
Pawtucket, RI	\$39,655	19.7%	17.6%	38.1%	0.3193	\$10,421,188
New Haven, CT	\$35,284	16.2%	16.0%	49.2%	0.3243	\$11,509,267
AVERAGI	E 48.387	16.1%	15.7%	51.1%	0.4587	\$15,981,680

Other "Shadow" Marke	t Franchises	Households E	By Effective Buying	Income {1}		
Metro Area	Median Household	\$20,000 - \$34,999	\$35,000 - \$49,999	\$50,000 & Over	Buying Power	Total
	Effective Buying Income {1}	Percent	Percent	Percent	Index	Retail Sales
Bridgewater, NJ^^^^	\$64,333	11.8%	13.4%	64.5%	0.5048	\$15,055,407
Newark, NJ	\$54,739	14.4%	14.1%	54.5%	0.8437	\$28,496,773
Manchester, NH	<i>\$51,967</i>	15.8%	16.7%	52.5%	0.1650	\$6,958,306
St. Paul, MN	\$50,028	17.4%	17.4%	50.0%	1.2805	\$54,213,658
Camden, NJ***	\$49,717	16.4%	15.3%	49.7%	1.9874	\$65,740,609
Central Islip, NY^^	\$49,048	16.0%	14.5%	49.1%	8.1750	\$256,642,339
Dayton, OH	\$41,497	20.0%	17.4%	40.5%	0.3404	\$12,245,996
Montclair, NJ^^^	\$40,481	18.3%	16.2%	40.0%	0.1938	\$5,478,900
Warren, OH	\$32,250	23.8%	17.8%	28.2%	0.1855	\$6,932,874
AVERAGE	42,455	15.3%	14.0%	41.8%	1.5012	\$49,422,951

Source: 2001 Survey of Buying Power

{1} - Effective Buying Income is defined by Sales and Marketing Management as "money income less personal tax and nontax payments" or "'disposable' or 'after-tax' income".

** Hartford

^{*}Boston

^{***}Philadelphia

^{****}Burlington

[^]Washington

^{^^}New York City

^{^^^}Jersey City

^{^^^}Middlesex-Somerset-Hunterdon

Exhibit 5. Market Growth Trends Analysis

Ranked By Population Percent Increase

Eastern League

Metro Area	2001	Estimated 2006	% Change	2001	Estimated 2006	% Change	2000 Average	Estimated 2005	% Change
	Population (MSA)	Population	2001-2006	Households {1}	Households	2001 - 2006	Household EBI	Household EBI	2000 - 2005
Bowie, MD	4,975,700	5,281,000	6.14%	1,869,800	2,003,300	7.14%	\$66,280	\$77,080	16%
Manchester, NH	384,200	407,200	5.99%	146,100	157,800	8.01%	\$57,901	\$63,605	10%
Portland, ME	267,300	277,200	3.70%	107,400	113,900	6.05%	\$51,455	\$59,151	15%
Reading, PA	376,400	386,700	2.74%	145,300	151,500	4.27%	\$48,727	\$56,136	15%
Akron, OH	697,800	711,300	1.93%	272,400	283,200	3.96%	\$47,854	\$56,642	18%
Harrisburg, PA	632,500	642,300	1.55%	247,200	254,700	3.03%	\$52,510	\$63,547	21%
Trenton, NJ	352,600	357,900	1.50%	127,400	130,900	2.75%	\$68,740	\$79,696	16%
New Britain, CT**	1,150,500	1,151,200	0.06%	440,000	446,200	1.41%	\$60,824	\$69,502	14%
New Haven, CT	825,500	822,200	-0.40%	316,600	319,500	0.92%	\$56,752	\$64,887	14%
Erie, PA	281,200	278,000	-1.14%	105,600	106,300	0.66%	\$43,968	\$51,589	17%
Altoona, PA	129,000	126,600	-1.86%	50,600	50,600	0.00%	\$41,601	\$50,941	22%
Norwich, CT	259,400	254,500	-1.89%	96,600	95,700	-0.93%	\$59,024	\$69,490	18%
Binghamton, NY	251,400	240,800	-4.22%	96,300	93,200	-3.22%	\$38,828	\$41,780	8%
AVERAGE	849,942	877,475	0.68%	322,933	337.417	2.17%	\$53,047	\$61,703	16.29%

Selected New England Teams

Metro Area	2001	Estimated 2006	% Change	2001	Estimated 2006	% Change	2000 Average	Estimated 2005	% Change
	Population (MSA)	Population	2001-2006	Households {1}	Households	2001 - 2006	Household EBI	Household EBI	2000 - 2005
Manchester, NH	384,200	407,200	5.99%	146,100	157,800	8.01%	\$57,901	\$63,605	10%
Nashua, NH	384,200	407,200	5.99%	146,100	157,800	8.01%	\$57,901	\$63,605	10%
Winooski, VT****	200,500	209,500	4.49%	76,400	81,900	7.20%	\$50,683	\$58,109	15%
Lowell, MA*	4,018,100	4,124,100	2.64%	1,525,700	1,589,500	4.18%	\$64,057	\$74,788	17%
Bridgeport, CT	886,700	902,500	1.78%	330,100	339,700	2.91%	\$97,872	\$123,609	26%
New Britain, CT**	1,150,500	1,151,200	0.06%	440,000	446,200	1.41%	\$60,824	\$69,502	14%
Pawtucket, RI	966,400	964,400	-0.21%	367,900	371,500	0.98%	\$47,124	\$54,681	16%
New Haven, CT	825,500	822,200	-0.40%	316,600	319,500	0.92%	\$56,752	\$64,887	14%
Norwich, CT	259,400	254,500	-1.89%	96,600	95,700	-0.93%	\$59,024	\$69,490	18%
AVERAGE	1,086,413	1,104,450	1.56%	412,425	425,225	3.08%	\$61,780	\$72,334	16.24%

Other "Shadow" Market Franchises

Metro Area	2001	Estimated 2006	% Change	2001	Estimated 2006	% Change	2000 Average	Estimated 2005	% Change
	Population (MSA)	Population	2001-2006	Households {1}	Households	2001 - 2006	Household EBI	Household EBI	2000 - 2005
St. Paul, MN	3,001,200	3,186,200	6.16%	1142800	1,225,500	7.24%	\$37,272	\$43,269	16%
Manchester, NH	384,200	407,200	5.99%	146,100	157,800	8.01%	\$57,901	\$63,605	10%
Bridgewater, NJ^^^^	1,180,900	1,248,600	5.73%	428,100	457,600	6.89%	\$72,843	\$83,320	14%
Central Islip, NY^^	21,227,400	21,651,500	2.00%	7,765,700	7,977,500	2.73%	\$61,631	\$71,124	15%
Newark, NJ	2,041,800	2,065,800	1.18%	731600	745,600	1.91%	\$66,660	\$74,382	12%
Camden, NJ***	5,114,300	5,145,600	0.61%	1897100	1,933,000	1.89%	\$58,419	\$69,661	19%
Montclair, NJ^^^	613,200	616,100	0.47%	227,900	229,100	0.53%	\$48,527	\$54,584	12%
Dayton, OH	950,500	944,100	-0.67%	371,100	374,700	0.97%	\$48,767	\$58,517	20%
Warren, OH	594,300	NA	NA	231900	NA	NA	\$51,442	\$62,473	21%
AVERAGE	4,340,450	4,979,700	2.21%	1,599,525	1,849,000	3.17%	\$55,695	\$64,666	16.33%

 $\{1\}$ - "Households" does not include persons living in group quarters (e.g. students), although the income of such persons is included in total Effective Buying

Income. (Source: 2001 Survey of Buying Power) Source: 2001 Survey of Buying Power

*Boston

** Hartford

***Philadelphia

****Burlington

^Washington

^^New York City

^^^Jersey City

^^^Middlesex-Somerset-Hunterdon

Exhibit 6. Target Population Analysis

Ranked By Percentage of Market Defined as within the "Target Market" Age Range

Eastern League

Metro Area	2001	Estimated 2001	Estimated 2001	Percentage of	Target Market
	Population (MSA)	18-24 Pop.	25-34 Pop.	Target Market 18-34	Population
Bowie, MD	4,975,700	9.2%	15.9%	25.10%	1,248,901
Norwich, CT	259,400	9.9%	15.2%	25.10%	65,109
Manchester, NH	384,200	8.8%	15.5%	24.30%	93,361
Trenton, NJ	352,600	10.5%	13.6%	24.10%	84,977
Portland, ME	267,300	9.4%	14.3%	23.70%	63,350
New Haven, CT	825,500	9.3%	14.2%	23.50%	193,993
New Britain, CT**	1,150,500	9.3%	14.1%	23.40%	269,217
Binghamton, NY	251,400	10.2%	13.1%	23.30%	58,576
Akron, OH	697,800	9.9%	13.0%	22.90%	159,796
Erie, PA	281,200	10.6%	12.1%	22.70%	63,832
Harrisburg, PA	632,500	9.1%	12.9%	22.00%	139,150
Reading, PA	376,400	8.6%	12.6%	21.20%	79,797
Altoona, PA	129,000	8.0%	11.3%	19.30%	24,897
AVERAGE	813,167	9.5%	13.6%	23.1%	195,914
AVERAGE w/o LOW AND HIGH	509,460	9.5%	13.5%	23.2%	117,780

Selected New England Teams

Metro Area	2001	Estimated 2001	Estimated 2001	Percentage of	Target Market
	Population (MSA)	18-24 Pop.	25-34 Pop.	Target Market 18-34	Population
Winooski, VT****	200,500	12.4%	15.0%	27.40%	54,937
Lowell, MA*	4,018,100	10.4%	15.1%	25.50%	1,024,616
Norwich, CT	259,400	9.9%	15.2%	25.10%	65,109
Manchester, NH	384,200	8.8%	15.5%	24.30%	93,361
Nashua, NH	384,200	8.8%	15.5%	24.30%	93,361
Pawtucket, RI	966,400	10.3%	13.6%	23.90%	230,970
New Haven, CT	825,500	9.3%	14.2%	23.50%	193,993
New Britain, CT**	1,150,500	9.3%	14.1%	23.40%	269,217
Bridgeport, CT	886,700	8.1%	13.3%	21.40%	189,754
AVERAGE	916,667	9.8%	14.5%	24.3%	265,244
AVERAGE w/o LOW AND HIGH	745,450	9.7%	14.5%	24.3%	173,734

Other "Shadow" Market Franchises

Metro Area	2001	Estimated 2001	Estimated 2001	Percentage of	Target Market
	Population (MSA)	18-24 Pop.	25-34 Pop.	Target Market 18-34	Population
Montclair, NJ^^^	613,200	9.6%	16.1%	25.70%	157,592
Bridgewater, NJ^^^^	1,180,900	9.2%	15.4%	24.60%	290,501
St. Paul, MN	3,001,200	8.7%	15.8%	24.50%	735,294
Manchester, NH	384,200	8.8%	15.5%	24.30%	93,361
Central Islip, NY^^	21,227,400	8.8%	14.0%	22.80%	4,839,847
Camden, NJ***	5,114,300	8.8%	13.7%	22.50%	1,150,718
Dayton, OH	950,500	9.3%	12.9%	22.20%	211,011
Newark, NJ	2,041,800	8.5%	13.6%	22.10%	451,238
Warren, OH	594,300	NA	NA	NA	NA
AVERAGE	4,340,450	9.0%	14.5%	23.5%	1,119,457
AVERAGE w/o LOW AND HIGH	1,396,983	9.0%	14.5%	23.3%	567,752

Source: 2001 Survey of Buying Power

^{*}Boston

^{**} Hartford

^{***}Philadelphia

^{****}Burlington

[^]Washington

^{^^}New York City

^{^^}Jersey City

^{^^^}Middlesex-Somerset-Hunterdon

Exhibit 7. Corporate Market Strength Analysis

Ranked by Number of Business Establishments per Capita

Eastern League

Metro Area	2001	Total Business	Business Per	Total Retail	Total Retail
	Population	Establishments {1}	Capita	Sales	Sales per Capita
Portland, ME	267,300	16,842	0.0630	\$6,059,891	\$22.67
Manchester, NH	384,200	17,537	0.0456	\$6,958,306	\$18.11
Norwich, CT	259,400	11,790	0.0455	\$3,198,140	\$12.33
Trenton, NJ	352,600	15,509	0.0440	\$4,399,231	\$12.48
Bowie, MD^	4,975,700	209,519	0.0421	\$63,408,444	\$12.74
Harrisburg, PA	632,500	26,267	0.0415	\$9,305,222	\$14.71
Binghamton, NY	251,400	10,194	0.0405	\$3,213,693	\$12.78
New Britain, CT**	1,150,500	46,611	0.0405	\$14,899,530	\$12.95
New Haven, CT	825,500	33,440	0.0405	\$11,509,267	\$13.94
Reading, PA	376,400	15,049	0.0400	\$4,495,685	\$11.94
Akron, OH	697,800	26,951	0.0386	\$9,381,341	\$13.44
Altoona, PA	129,000	4,968	0.0385	\$1,990,714	\$15.43
Erie, PA	281,200	10,758	0.0383	\$3,311,320	\$11.78
AVERAGE	849,942	35,658	0.0428	\$11,264,373	\$13.93

Selected New England Teams

AVERAGE	1,086,413	49,202	0.0461	\$15,981,680	\$14.99
Pawtucket, RI	966,400	37,796	0.0391	\$10,421,188	\$10.78
New Haven, CT	825,500	33,440	0.0405	\$11,509,267	\$13.94
New Britain, CT**	1,150,500	46,611	0.0405	\$14,899,530	\$12.95
Norwich, CT	259,400	11,790	0.0455	\$3,198,140	\$12.33
Nashua, NH	384,200	17,537	0.0456	\$6,958,306	\$18.11
Manchester, NH	384,200	17,537	0.0456	\$6,958,306	\$18.11
Lowell, MA*	4,018,100	186,615	0.0464	\$59,744,476	\$14.87
Bridgeport, CT	886,700	48,534	0.0547	\$17,735,044	\$20.00
Winooski, VT****	200,500	11,290	0.0563	\$3,387,490	\$16.90
	Population	Establishments {1}	Capita	Sales	Sales per Capi
Metro Area	2001	Total Business	Business Per	Total Retail	Total Retail

Other "Shadow" Market Franchises

Metro Area	2001	Total Business	Business Per	Total Retail	Total Retail
	Population	Establishments {1}	Capita	Sales	Sales per Capita
Newark, NJ	2,041,800	99,217	0.0486	\$28,496,773	\$13.96
Manchester, NH	384,200	17,537	0.0456	\$6,958,306	\$18.11
Bridgewater, NJ^^^^	1,180,900	48,973	0.0415	\$15,055,407	\$12.75
Camden, NJ***	5,114,300	201,918	0.0395	\$65,740,609	\$12.85
Warren, OH	594,300	23,414	0.0394	\$6,932,874	\$11.67
St. Paul, MN	3,001,200	114,120	0.0380	\$54,213,658	\$18.06
Dayton, OH	950,500	34,551	0.0364	\$12,245,996	\$12.88
Montclair, NJ^^^	613,200	20,777	0.0339	\$5,478,900	\$8.93
Central Islip, NY^^	21,227,400	318,798	0.0150	\$256,642,339	\$12.09
AVERAGE	4,340,450	107,721	0.0365	\$55,600,820	\$12.90

Source: 2001 Survey of Buying Power

 $\{1\}$ Total Business Establishments are per MSA and are based on 2001 Survey of Buying Power.

^{*}Boston

^{**} Hartford

^{***}Philadelphia

^{****}Burlington

 $^{{}^{\}wedge}Washington$

^{^^}New York City

^{^^^}Jersey City

^{^^^}Middlesex-Somerset-Hunterdon

Exhibit 8.A Attendance Study
Ranked by 2002 Average Attendance per Game

Eastern League Teams

													1										İ	ı										1
Percentage	%08	%99	%88	%88	85%	20%	%29	62%	54%	26%	54%	46%	%99	Percentage	%06	103%	73%	54%	53%	%89	46%	44%	%99		Percentage	105%	100%	102%	82%	84%	71%	23%	39%	%08
Capacity	8,800	6,097	6,600	6,500	6,176	10,000	6,146	6,300	6,270	6,000	6,012	6,200	2,008	Capacity	10,031	4,863	5,300	6,270	6,146	4,400	6,200	4,000	5,901		Capacity	8,000	6,338	6,013	6,360	6,000	6,425	6,000	7,784	6,615
2002 Attendance Average	7,052	5,973	5,835	5,713	5,273	5,019	4,148	3,924	3,417	3,363	3,247	2,846	4,651	2002 Attendance Average	9,052	5,000	3,853	3,381	3,285	3,002	2,846	1,753	4,022		2002 Attendance Average	8,398	6,338	6,158	5,189	5,045	4,548	3,209	3,069	5,244 4,639
Venue	First Energy Stadium	Canal Park	Mercer Co. Waterfront Park	Hadlock Field	Blair County Ballpark	Prince George's Stadium	New Britain Stadium	Riverside Stadium	Thomas J. Dodd Memorial Stadium	Jerry Uht Park	NYSEG Stadium	Yale Field	AVERAGE	Venue	McCoy Stadium	Edward LeLacheur Park	Harbor Yard	Thomas J. Dodd Memorial Stadium	New Britain Stadium	Centennial Field	Yale Field	Holman Stadium	AVERAGE		Venue	Fifth Third Field	Midway Stadium	EAB Park	Commerce Bank Ballpark	Cafaro Field	Camden Ballpark	Riverfront Stadium	Yogi Berra Stadium	AVERAGE TOTAL AVERAGE
City	Reading, PA	Akron, OH	Trenton, NJ	Portland, ME	Altoona, PA	Bowie, MD	New Britain, CT	Harrisburg, PA	Norwich, CT	Erie, PA	Binghamton, NY	New Haven, CT		City	Pawtucket, RI	Lowell, MA	Bridgeport, CT	Norwich, CT	New Britain, CT	Winooski, VT	New Haven, CT	Nashua, NH			City	Dayton, OH	St. Paul, MN	Central Islip, NY	Bridgewater, NJ	Warren, OH	Camden, NJ	Newark, NJ	Montclair, NJ	
Team	Reading Phillies	Akron Aeros	Trenton Thunder	Portland Sea Dogs	Altoona Curve	Bowie Baysox	New Britain Rock Cats	Harrisburg Senators	Norwich Navigators	Erie Seawolves	Binghamton Mets	New Haven Ravens	SII	Team	Pawtucket Redsox	Lowell Spinners	Bridgeport Bluefish	Norwich Navigators	New Britain Rock Cats	Vermont Expos	New Haven Ravens	Nashua Pride		Tranchises	Team	Dayton Dragons	St. Paul Saints	Long Island Ducks	Somerset Patriots	Mahoning Valley	Camden Riversharks	Newark Bears	New Jersey Jackals	
Leagne	Eastern League (AA)	Eastern League (AA)	Eastern League (AA)	Eastern League (AA)	Eastern League (AA)	Eastern League (AA)	Eastern League (AA)	Eastern League (AA)	Eastern League (AA)	Eastern League (AA)	Eastern League (AA)	Eastern League (AA)	Selected New England Teams	Leagne	Northern Division (AAA)	New York - Penn (A)	Atlantic League (IND)	Eastern League (AA)	Eastern League (AA)	New York - Penn (A)	Eastern League (AA)	Atlantic League (IND)		Other "Shadow" Market Franchises	Leagne	Midwest League (A)	Northern League (IND)	Atlantic League (IND)	Atlantic League (IND)	New York - Penn (A)	Atlantic League (IND)	Atlantic League (IND)	Northern League (IND)	

Exhibit 8.B. Historic Attendance Analysis - New Ballparks
Average Attendance Comparison

Eastern League Franchises

	4,694 104%		5,171 110%		4,359 109%		4,992 102%		4,843 104%				ıst 10 years)	lparks (built in la	Averages for New Ballparks (built in last 10 years) Percent Difference
64%	4,501	%99	4,698	%95	4,012	%02	4,886	%29	4,651	6,958	1985	\$40,347	849,942		League Averages
55%	3,408	45%	2,771	43%	2,643	76%	4,734	46%	2,846	6,200	1927	\$35,284	825,500	AA	New Haven, CT
%92	6,470	74%	6,287	64%	5,409	82%	6,948	83%	7,052	8,500	1950	\$30,178	376,400	ΑA	Reading, PA
%65	3,728	%95	3,558	%05	3,147	%59	4,113	%59	3,924	6,300	1987	\$44,970	632,500	ΑA	Harrisburg, PA
47%	2,855	48%	2,858	40%	2,418	48%	2,899	54%	3,247	6,012	1992	\$38,828	251,400	ΑA	Binghamton, NY
%6L	5,403	82%	5,620	%89	4,692	85%	5,803	83%	5,713	098'9	1993	\$34,786	267,300	ΑA	Portland, ME
46%	4,921	%09	5,961	45%	4,519	52%	5,226	%09	5,019	10,000	1994	\$57,056	4,975,700	ΑA	Bowie, MD
87%	5,725	94%	6,190	83%	5,463	%68	5,876	%88	5,835	009'9	1994	\$39,733	352,600	ΑA	Trenton, NJ
53%	3,290	25%	3,412	48%	2,997	%95	3,455	%55	3,417	6,200	1995	\$41,623	259,400	ΑA	Norwich, CT
28%	3,467	NA	NA	NA	ΝΑ	%09	3,571	%95	3,363	6,000	1995	\$35,893	281,200	ΑA	Erie, PA
25%	3,401	47%	2,878	36%	2,213	63%	3,843	%19	4,148	6,146	1996	\$52,868	1,150,500	ΑA	New Britain, CT
77%	6,530	%88	7,443	%LL	6,580	83%	7,037	%02	5,973	8,500	1997	\$39,297	697,800	ΑA	Akron, OH
%8 <i>L</i>	4,814	%9L	4,695	%99	4,048	83%	5,122	%58	5,273	6,178	1999	\$33,642	129,000	VV	Altoona, PA
% Capacity	4-yr Avg.	% Capacity	Avg. Att.	% Capacity	Avg. Att.	% Capacity	Avg. Att	% Capacity	Avg. Att	Capacity	N/A	\$51,967	384,200	(comparative)	Manchester
4-yr Avg			6661		2000		2001		2002		Year Built	EBI	Pop.(MSA)	Level	Market

Exhibit 9. Ticket Pricing Analysis

Ranked by Average Single Ticket Price

Eastern League

Metro Area	Team	Facility	Average Single
			Ticket Price
Bowie, MD	Bowie Baysox (AA)	Prince George's Stadium	\$11.00
New Haven, CT	New Haven Ravens (AA)	Yale Field	\$9.50
Norwich, CT	Norwich Navigators (AA)	Thomas J. Dodd Memorial Stadium	\$9.00
Akron, OH	Akron Aeros (AA)	Canal Park	\$8.50
New Britain, CT	New Britain Rock Cats (AA)	New Britain Stadium	\$7.50
Trenton, NJ	Trenton Thunder (AA)	Mercer Co. Waterfront Park	\$6.50
Binghamton, NY	Binghamton Mets (AA)	NYSEG Stadium	\$6.50
Harrisburg, PA	Harrisburg Senators (AA)	Riverside Stadium	\$6.50
Reading, PA	Reading Phillies (AA)	First Energy Stadium	\$6.00
Altoona, PA	Altoona Curve (AA)	Blair County Ballpark	\$5.50
Erie, PA	Erie Seawolves (AA)	Jerry Uht Park	\$5.50
Portland, ME	Portland Sea Dogs (AA)	Hadlock Field	\$4.50
		AVERAGE	\$7.21

Selected New England Teams

Metro Area	Team	Facility	Average Single
			Ticket Price
Bridgeport, CT	Bridgeport Bluefish (IND)	Harbor Yard	NA
New Haven, CT	New Haven Ravens (AA)	Yale Field	\$9.50
Norwich, CT	Norwich Navigators (AA)	Thomas J. Dodd Memorial Stadium	\$9.00
New Britain, CT	New Britain Rock Cats (AA)	New Britain Stadium	\$7.50
Nashua, NH (B)	Nashua Pride (IND)	Holman Stadium	\$7.00
Pawtucket, RI	Pawtucket Redsox (AAA)	McCoy Stadium	\$6.50
Lowell, MA	Lowell Spinners (A)	Edward LeLacheur Park	\$5.50
Winooski, VT	Vermont Expos (A)	Centennial Field	\$5.50
	·	AVERAGE	\$7.21

Other "Shadow" Market Franchises

Metro Area	Team	Facility	Aaus as Cimals
Metro Area	ream	Facility	Average Single
			Ticket Price
Bridgewater, NJ	Somerset Patriots (IND)	Commerce Bank Ballpark	NA
Newark, NJ	Newark Bears (IND)	Riverfront Stadium	NA
Warren, OH	Mahoning Valley (A)	Cafaro Field	NA
Central Islip, NY	Long Island Ducks (IND)	EAB Park	\$10.00
Dayton, OH	Dayton Dragons (A)	Fifth Third Field	\$9.50
Camden, NJ	Camden Riversharks (IND)	Camden Ballpark	\$8.00
Montclair, NJ	New Jersey Jackals (IND)	Yogi Berra Stadium	\$7.00
St. Paul, MN	St. Paul Saints (IND)	Midway Stadium	\$6.00
		AVERAGE	\$8.10

TIVE ETTER OF CONTROL
Information taken from 2002 Revenues From Sports Venues Pro Edition

- (A) Club Seating can be purchased on a per game basis
- (B) Information taken from www.Nashuapride.com

Exhibit 10. Premium Seating Survey

Ranked By Number of Luxury Suites

Eastern League

Metro Area	Team	Facility		Club S	eats	ĺ			Luxury Suites		•
			Quantity	Low	High	Term	Quantity	Seats	Low	High	Term
Akron, OH	Akron Aeros (AA)	Canal Park	None	NA	NA	NA	25	16	\$21,000	\$21,000	10
Altoona, PA	Altoona Curve (AA)	Blair County Ballpark	None	NA	NA	NA	21	14-16	\$9,000	\$9,000	3-5
Norwich, CT	Norwich Navigators (AA)	Thomas J. Dodd Memorial Stadium	None	NA	NA	NA	18	12-20	\$15,000	\$16,500	5
Portland, ME	Portland Sea Dogs (AA)	Hadlock Field	None	NA	NA	NA	17	22	\$9,000	\$18,500	5
Trenton, NJ	Trenton Thunder (AA)	Mercer Co. Waterfront Park	None	NA	NA	NA	16	16	NA	NA	3
New Britain, CT	New Britain Rock Cats (AA)	New Britain Stadium	None	NA	NA	NA	12	20	\$15,000	\$15,000	1-5
Binghamton, NY	Binghamton Mets (AA)	NYSEG Stadium	None	NA	NA	NA	6	12	\$19,500	\$19,500	1-3
Bowie, MD	Bowie Baysox (AA)	Prince George's Stadium	96	750	750	1	5	24	\$20,000	\$20,000	3-5
New Haven, CT	New Haven Ravens (AA)	Yale Field	None	NA	NA	NA	None	NA	NA	NA	NA
Harrisburg, PA	Harrisburg Senators (AA)	Riverside Stadium	None	NA	NA	NA	None	NA	NA	NA	NA
Reading, PA	Reading Phillies (AA)	First Energy Stadium	None	NA	NA	NA	None	NA	NA	NA	NA
Erie, PA	Erie Seawolves (AA)	Jerry Uht Park	None	NA	NA	NA	None	NA	NA	NA	NA
		AVERAGE					15.0	19.0	\$15,500	\$17,071	4.5

Selected New England Teams

Metro Area	Team	Facility		Club S	eats				Luxury Suites		
			Quantity	Low	High	Term	Quantity	Seats	Low	High	Term
Bridgeport, CT	Bridgeport Bluefish (IND)	Harbor Yard	108	\$1,100	\$1,100	1	20	20	\$25,000	\$25,000	1
Norwich, CT	Norwich Navigators (AA)	Thomas J. Dodd Memorial Stadium	None	NA	NA	NA	18	12-20	\$15,000	\$16,500	5
New Britain, CT	New Britain Rock Cats (AA)	New Britain Stadium	None	NA	NA	NA	12	20	\$15,000	\$15,000	1-5
Pawtucket, RI	Pawtucket Redsox (AAA)	McCoy Stadium	None	NA	NA	NA	11	15-30	NA	NA	NA
New Haven, CT	New Haven Ravens (AA)	Yale Field	None	NA	NA	NA	None	NA	NA	NA	NA
Nashua, NH (B)	Nashua Pride (IND)	Holman Stadium	(A)	(A)	(A)	(A)	NA	18	\$5,000	\$25,000	5
Lowell, MA	Lowell Spinners (A)	Edward LeLacheur Park	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Winooski, VT	Vermont Expos (A)	Centennial Field	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
AVERAGE						15.3	20.0	\$15,000	\$20,375	4.3	

Other "Shadow" Market Franchises

Metro Area	Team	Facility		Club S	eats				Luxury Suites		
			Quantity	Low	High	Term	Quantity	Seats	Low	High	Term
Dayton, OH	Dayton Dragons (A)	Fifth Third Field	1360	\$568	\$568	3	30	15	\$25,000	\$25,000	7
Camden, NJ	Camden Riversharks (IND)	Camden Ballpark	417	\$840	\$1,050	NA	20	22	\$30,000	\$33,000	3-5
Bridgewater, NJ	Somerset Patriots (IND)	Commerce Bank Ballpark	None	NA	NA	NA	20	25	\$27,500	\$27,500	1
Central Islip, NY	Long Island Ducks (IND)	EAB Park	212	\$936	\$936	1	20	20	\$25,000	\$25,000	5
Newark, NJ	Newark Bears (IND)	Riverfront Stadium	None	NA	NA	NA	20	20	\$20,000	\$25,000	3
Montclair, NJ	New Jersey Jackals (IND)	Yogi Berra Stadium	None	NA	NA	NA	6	20	\$25,000	\$25,000	3
St. Paul, MN	St. Paul Saints (IND)	Midway Stadium	None	NA	NA	NA	None	NA	NA	NA	NA
Warren, OH	Mahoning Valley (A)	Cafaro Field	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
		AVERAGI	1				19.3	19.0	\$25,417	\$26,750	4.7

Information taken from 2002 Revenues From Sports Venues Pro Edition

- (A) Club Seating can be purchased on a per game basis for \$8
- (B) Information taken from www.Nashuapride.com

Exhibit 11. Advertising Survey Ranked By Target Market Population

Eastern League

				Advertising Si	gnage
Metro Area	Team	Facility	Quantity	Low	High
Erie, PA	Erie Seawolves (AA)	Jerry Uht Park	NA	NA	NA
Portland, ME	Portland Sea Dogs (AA)	Hadlock Field	125	\$2,500	\$5,000
Harrisburg, PA	Harrisburg Senators (AA)	Riverside Stadium	95	\$4,000	\$12,500
Norwich, CT	Norwich Navigators (AA)	Thomas J. Dodd Memorial Stadium	90	\$2,500	\$8,000
Reading, PA	Reading Phillies (AA)	First Energy Stadium	80	\$3,500	\$15,000
Altoona, PA	Altoona Curve (AA)	Blair County Ballpark	75	\$8,000	\$30,000
Binghamton, NY	Binghamton Mets (AA)	NYSEG Stadium	60	\$4,000	\$5,000
Bowie, MD	Bowie Baysox (AA)	Prince George's Stadium	55	\$10,000	\$35,000
New Britain, CT	New Britain Rock Cats (AA)	New Britain Stadium	50	\$2,500	\$20,000
Trenton, NJ	Trenton Thunder (AA)	Mercer Co. Waterfront Park	42	\$3,500	\$12,000
New Haven, CT	New Haven Ravens (AA)	Yale Field	30	\$4,500	\$15,000
Akron, OH	Akron Aeros (AA)	Canal Park	(A)	NA	NA
		AVERAGE	55	\$4,500	\$15,750
		AVERAGE TOTAL POTENTIAL	\$556,875	\$247,500	\$866,250

Selected New England Teams

Facility Edward LeLacheur Park Centennial Field Harbor Yard	Quantity NA NA 100	NA NA NA \$2,500	High NA NA
Centennial Field	NA	NA	NA
Harbor Yard	100	\$2.500	620.000
		\$2,300	\$20,000
as J. Dodd Memorial Stadium	90	\$2,500	\$8,000
Holman Stadium	72	\$4,200	\$6,600
New Britain Stadium	50	\$2,500	\$20,000
McCoy Stadium	40	\$7,000	\$15,000
** 1 *** 1.1	30	\$4,500	\$15,000
		McCoy Stadium 40	McCoy Stadium 40 \$7,000

AVERAGE AVERAGE TOTAL POTENTIAL **\$3,867** \$246,178 **\$14,100** \$897,700 **64** \$571,939

Other "Shadow" Market Franchises

				Advertising Si	gnage
Metro Area	Team	Facility	Quantity	Low	High
Dayton, OH	Dayton Dragons (A) (1)	Fifth Third Field	NA	NA	NA
Warren, OH	Mahoning Valley (A)	Cafaro Field	NA	NA	NA
Newark, NJ	Newark Bears (IND)	Riverfront Stadium	150	\$750	\$6,000
Central Islip, NY	Long Island Ducks (IND)	EAB Park	60	\$1,000	\$25,000
Montclair, NJ	New Jersey Jackals (IND)	Yogi Berra Stadium	59	\$1,500	\$7,500
Bridgewater, NJ	Somerset Patriots (IND)	Commerce Bank Ballpark	45	\$9,000	\$17,000
St. Paul, MN	St. Paul Saints (IND)	Midway Stadium	45	\$3,500	\$7,500
Camden, NJ	Camden Riversharks (IND)	Camden Ballpark	24	\$15,000	\$15,000
		AVERAGE	64	\$5,125	\$13,000
		AVERAGE TOTAL POTENTIAL	\$578,490	\$327,146	\$829,833

Information taken from 2002 Revenues From Sports Venues Pro Edition
(1) Signage is sold as part of total sponsorship packages and prices vary depending upon client

Exhibit 12. Naming Rights Survey

Ranked by Total Value

Team	Location	League	Company	Value	Years	Value/Year
Dragons	Dayton, OH	Midwest (A)	Fifth Third Bank	\$6,500,000	20	\$325,000
Blue Claws	Lakewood, NJ	South Atlantic (A)	GPU Energy	\$4,800,000	20	\$240,000
Yankees	Staten Island, NY	New York-Penn (A)	Richmond County Bank	\$3,600,000	9	\$400,000
Skychiefs	Syracuse, NY	International (AAA)	P&C	\$3,600,000	25	\$144,000
Patriots	Somerset, NJ	Atlantic/ Independent	Commerce Bank	\$3,500,000	15	\$233,333
Cheetahs	Cook County, IL	Frontier/ Independent	Hawkinson Ford	\$3,500,000	10	\$350,000
Riversharks	Camden, NJ	Atlantic/ Independent	Campbell's Soup	\$3,000,000	10	\$300,000
Devil Rays	St. Petersburg, FL	Florida State (A)	Florida Power Co.	\$3,000,000	10	\$300,000
Express	Round Rock, TX	Texas (AA)	Dell Computer	\$2,500,000	15	\$166,667
Ducks	Central Islip, NY	Atlantic/ Independent	European American Bank	\$2,300,000	10	\$230,000
Flyers	Schaumburg, IL	Northern/Independent	Alexian Bros. Health Care	\$2,000,000	10	\$200,000
Diamond Jaxx	Jackson, TN	Southern (AA)	Procter & Gamble	\$1,600,000	15	\$106,667
Goldeyes	Winnipeg, Manitoba	Northern/Independent	CanWest Global	\$1,500,000	25	\$60,000
RedHawks	Fargo, ND	Northern/Independent	Newman Outdoor	\$1,500,000	5	\$300,000
Lugnuts	Lansing, MI	Midwest (A)	Oldsmobile	\$1,500,000	15	\$100,000
Chiefs	Peoria, IL	Midwest (A)	O'Brien Automotive Group	\$1,050,000	7	\$150,000
Hammerheads	Jupiter, FL	Florida State (A)	Roger Dean Automotive	\$1,000,000	10	\$100,000
Pelicans	Myrtle Beach, SC	Carolina (A)	Coastal Federal Bank	\$1,000,000	10	\$100,000
Lookouts	Chattanooga, TN	Southern (AA)	BellSouth	\$1,000,000	10	\$100,000
Mountain Ducks	Ozark, MO	Texas-Louisiana/Independent	Pricecutter	\$750,000	10	\$75,000
Boll Weevils	Piedmont, NC	South Atlantic (A)	Fieldcrest Cannon Inc.	\$300,000	15	\$20,000
A's	Modesto, CA	California League (A)	Modesto Bee	\$250,000	10	\$25,000
Cyclones	Brooklyn, NY	New York-Penn (A)	KeySpan Corporation	NA	NA	NA
Whitecaps	Grand Rapids, MI	Midwest (A)	Old Kent Bank	NA	10	NA
Indians	Spokane, WA	Northwest (A)	Avista Corporation	NA	10	NA
Phillies	Reading, PA	Eastern (AA)	GPU Energy	NA	15	NA
Legends	Lexington, KY	South Atlantic (A)	Applebee's Restaurants	NA\$	10	NA
AVERAGE:	_			\$2,300,000	13	\$177,000

NA - Not Available

\$ Incentive-laden deal reportedly worth approximately \$5 million.



Exhibit 13.A. "Shadow" Market Attendance Study Listed by 2002 Average Attendance

Leagne	Team	City	Venue	2002 Attendance Average	Capacity	Percentage
nternational League (AAA	Pawtucket Redsox	Pawtucket, RI	McCoy Stadium	9,052	10,031	%06
Midwest League (A)	Dayton Dragons	Dayton, OH	Fifth Third Field	8,398	8,000	105%
Eastern League (AA)	Reading Phillies	Reading, PA	First Energy Stadium	7,052	8,800	%08
Atlantic League (IND)	Long Island Ducks	Central Islip, NY	EAB Park	6,158	6,013	102%
Eastern League (AA)	Akron Aeros	Akron, OH	Canal Park	5,973	6,097	%99
Eastern League (AA)	Trenton Thunder	Trenton, NJ	Mercer Co. Waterfront Park	5,835	6,600	%88
Atlantic League (IND)	Somerset Patriots	Bridgewater, NJ	Commerce Bank Ballpark	5,189	6,360	82%
Eastern League (AA)	Bowie Baysox	Bowie, MD	Prince George's Stadium	5,019	10,000	%05
New York - Penn (A)	Lowell Spinners	Lowell, MA	Edward LeLacheur Park	5,000	4,863	103%
Atlantic League (IND)	Camden Riversharks	Camden, NJ	Camden Ballpark	4,548	6,425	71%
Atlantic League (IND)	Newark Bears	Newark, NJ	Riverfront Stadium	3,209	6,000	53%
Northern League (IND)	New Jersey Jackals*	Montclair, NJ	Yogi Berra Stadium	3,069	7,784	39%
Atlantic League (IND)	Nashua Pride	Nashua, NH	Holman Stadium	1,753	4,000	44%
			AVERAGE	5,404	7,229	75%

2002 Eastern League Attendance from www.readingphillies.com/press_attendance.htm *2001 Figures

Exhibit 13-B. Crossover Market Effects on Major League Attendance Average Attendance Comparison

Major League Attendance History

,										ı
Market	Team	Crossover Team	Level	Level Year of Crossover	3rd Year Prior	2nd Year Prior 1st Year Prior	1st Year Prior	Introduction Year	1st Year Post	2
				Introduction	Attendance	Attendance	Attendance	Attendance	Attendance	
Cleveland, OH	Cleveland Indians	Akron Aeros	VΥ	1997	24,632	35,096	40,965	42,034	42,806	
Philadelphia, PA	Philadelphia Phillies	Trenton Thunder	ΥV	1994	25,309	23,796	38,737	39,843	28,383	
Philadelphia, PA	Philadelphia Phillies	Camden Riversharks	IND	2001*	21,182	22,535	20,160	22,846	20,625	
Baltimore, MD	Baltimore Oriales	Bowie Baysox	ΥV	1993	30,002	31,515	44,047	45,000	31,301	
Boston, MA	Boston Redsox	Pawtucket Redsox	AAA	1999*	28,583	27,483	28,577	30,201	31,925	
Boston, MA	Boston Redsox	Lowell Spinners	<	1996*	29,901	30,884	30,061	28,583	27,483	
Cincinnatti, OH	Cincinnatti Reds	Dayton Dragons	<	2000	22,047	22,144	25,292	31,819	23,794	
New York, New York	New York Mets	Long Island Ducks	<u>N</u>	2000	21,805	28,246	33,448	35,924	32,818	
New York, New York	New York Mets	Newark Bears	IND	1999	19,609	21,805	28,246	33,448	35,924	
New York, New York	New York Mets	New Jersey Jackels	IND	1998	17,683	19,609	21,805	28,246	33,448	
New York, New York	New York Yankees	Long Island Ducks	IND	2000	31,856	36,484	40,662	40,346	40,811	
New York, New York	New York Yankees	Newark Bears	ΩNI	1999	27,789	31,856	36,484	40,662	40,346	
New York, New York	New York Yankees	New Jersey Jackels	ΩN	8661	23,521	27,789	31,856	36,484	40,662	

were renovated
facilities
r existing
constructed o
ballpark was
a new
in which
Year

	-	_												
Historic	Attend. Impact	27%	-21%	-3%	%6	15%	-5%	1%	23%	20%	73%	16%	30%	46%
1st Year	Attend. Impact	25%	36%	7%	28%	7%	%9-	37%	29%	44%	43%	11%	27%	32%
3 Year Post	Attendance Avg.	42,765	23,010	20,625	38,193	32,358	28,754	23,496	34,373	34,890	34,063	42,298	41,647	40,606
3 Year Prior	Attendance Avg.	33,564	29,281	21,292	35,188	28,214	30,282	23,161	27,833	23,220	19,699	36,334	32,043	27,722

42,820 NA 38,253 32,738 32,738 32,738 32,928 35,928 35,928 35,924 43,784 40,811

3rd Year Post

City of Manchester, NH MINOR LEAGUE BALLPARK FEASIBILITY STUDY

Exhibit 14A: Comparable Facilities Events Analysis

	Ama	Baseball	Anchor Tenants Basehall Amateur Sports			Flat Rate/ Minor League Baseball Med Rent Anchor Tenants
	anna anna	Das		CHARLES I CHARLES	Treatment remains	LIVE INTELLEGIES TABLES TABLES
Yes	No		Camden Riversharks	\$500-field and seating rental Camden Riversharks	77 \$500-field and seating rental Camden Riversharks	6,425 77 \$500-field and seating rental Camden Riversharks
				\$1,200/hr-lights; \$20/hr-crew	\$1,200/hr-lights; \$20/hr-crew	\$1,200/hr-lights; \$20/hr-crew
Yes	No		New Haven Ravens	\$700 per event New Haven Ravens		
Yes	No		Newark Bears	\$1,200/day; \$400/hr - lights Newark Bears		
				\$10,000-\$30,000 per event	\$10,000-\$30,000 per event	\$10,000-\$30,000 per event
Yes	No		Nashua Pride	No rental for outside events Nashua Pride		

City of Manchester, NH MINOR LEAGUE BALLPARK FEASIBILITY STUDY

Exhibit 14B: Projected Events Schedule

Minor League Baseball	70
Semi-Professional Baseball/ Softball	0
College / Amateur Sports	2
High School Sports	4
Concerts	1
Family Events	3
Flat / Public Shows	0
Stage / Theatrical	0
Parking Lot	0
Other	3

Total Events 83

City of Manchester, NH MINOR LEAGUE BALLPARK FEASIBILITY STUDY DETAILED FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

Exhibit 15A. Comparable Lease Term Analysis

Carolina League

Tenant	Team 1		Team 2		Team 3		Team 4		Team 5		Team 6	
Term	4 Years		5 Years		5 Years			<u></u>	10years		20years	
	LANDLORD	TENANT	LANDLORD	TENANT	LANDLORD	TENANT	LANDLORD	TENANT	LANDLORD	TENANT	LANDLORD	TENANT
Expenses												
Rent		85,000		\$20,000		\$1 + % of Net	6% oi	6% of 300,000 + 5%		\$38-75,000		4% to \$3,250,000
Parking	×		×		×			×	×		×	
Security		×		×	×	×	NA	NA	Non-	Baseball	Non-	Baseball
Ballpark Concessions		X		×		×		×		×		×
Event Concession	×			×		×		×		×	×	
Utilities	×			×	×	\$7250 + \$1,000		\$7250 + \$1,000		×		
Insurance		×		×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Landlord Tickets	NA	NA	1 suite	NA	6 box seats	NA	24 (12pack), 16 box 8 parking	NA	NA	NA	20 tickets/game Suite 1/season	
Repairs & Maintenance		×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
ı												
Revenues												
Ballpark Concessions		×		×		×	%8	×	20%	%05		×
Event Concession	×			×			20%	×			×	
Gate Receipts		×	2%	×	2%	×	6% of 300,000 + 5%	×		×		×
Club Seats	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Luxury Suites	NA	NA	%09	20%	20%		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Naming Rights	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA		×
Advertising		×		×				×		×		×
Parking	×		×		×		%09	%09	×		×	

City of Manchester, NH MINOR LEAGUE BALLPARK FEASIBILITY STUDY DETAILED FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

Exhibit 15B. Comparable Lease Term Analysis

Atlantic League

Tenant	Team 7		Team 8		Team 9	
Term Capacity	10 Years 5,900		10 years 5,300		10 years 6000	
	LANDLORD	TENANT	LANDLORD	TENANT	LANDLORD	TENANT
Expenses						
Rent		\$75,000		\$115,000	€.	\$200,000
Parking		×	×		×	
Security		×	×		×	×
Ballpark Concessions		×	×	×		×
Event Concession	NA		X	×		×
Utilities		×		X		X
Repairs & Maintenance		×				

Kevenues						
Ballpark Concessions		×	2%	%56	0	100%
Event Concession			20%	%05	20%	%08
Gate Receipts	10% over \$750,000	×	10%	%06		×
Club Seats	NA	NA	Ϋ́	ΝΑ	NA	NA
Luxury Suites	NA	NA	25%	75%	25%	
Naming Rights	NA	NA	%09	20%	NA	
Advertising		×		×	15% of \$500,000 5% beyon	85% of 8
Parking		×	×		×	

Brailsford and Dunlavey Inc.

City of Manchester, NH MINOR LEAGUE BALLPARK FEASIBILITY STUDY DETAILED FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

Exhibit 15C. Comparable Lease Term Analysis

Affiliated Minor League

7.5			
	ars)	25 years 6000	
	LANDLORD TENANT	LANDLORD	TENANT
Expenses			
Rent	\$200,000 + % of net rev.		\$100,000 + 25% of net rev.
Parking X	X	NA	NA
Security	X	off-site	on-site
Ballpark Concessions	X	NA	NA
Event Concession X	X	NA	NA
Utilities	X	X	
Insurance	X	NA	NA
Repairs & Maintenance	×	NA	NA

Revenues			
Ballpark Concessions	×		×
Event Concession	×	NA	NA
Gate Receipts	×		×
Club Seats	X		×
Luxury Suites	×		×
Naming Rights	×		×
Advertising	×	NA	NA
Parking	×	NA	NA
Ticket Surcharge		\$0.25 for capital replacement	
Media	×		×

City of Manchester, NH MINOR LEAGUE BALLPARK FEASIBILITY STUDY DETAILED FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

Exhibit 16. Operations Expense Analysis

			Operations Expenses 2002	enses 2002			
	Team 1 (IND)	Team 2 (AAA)	Team 3 (AAA)	Team 4 (A)	Team 5 (AA)	Team 6 (A)	Average
Salaries (inclues Benefits)	\$1,094,640	\$915,000	\$1,087,692	\$1,240,000	\$372,495	\$158,099	\$811,000
Advertsiing and Promotion	\$737,100	\$475,000	\$187,209	\$230,000	\$170,701	\$342,265	\$357,000
Team Expenses	NA	\$248,000	\$264,908	\$440,000	\$138,147	\$93,972	\$237,000
Admin & General	\$1,259,996	\$578,000	\$102,463	\$531,000	\$474,799	\$598,196	\$591,000
Concessions	\$1,756,038	\$929,000	NA	NA	\$51,859	NA	\$912,000
Merchandise	\$218,243	\$425,000	\$48,930	\$182,000	\$43,234	NA	\$183,000
Ticket Cost	NA	\$511,000	NA	\$150,000	NA	\$86,888	\$249,000
Total	\$5,066,016	\$4,081,000	\$1,691,202	\$2,623,000	\$1,251,234	\$1,192,532	\$2,651,000

^{* -} all expenses have been inflated to 2002 Dollars at an average inflaction of 3% per year

Exhibit 17. Corporate Telephone Survey Pool

Corporation

Autofair Automotive*

ABACUS Communications*

AFLAC

Autodesk

BAE Systems

Bank of NH

Brookstone Builders

Catholic Medical Center*

Citizen's Bank*

Coca-Cola*

Hesser College

Howe Riley Howe*

Fidelity

Fleet Bank

General Electric

Grubb & Ellis

Manpower *

McLane, Graf, Raulerson & Middleton*

Pro Con Inc.

Staples

Texas Instruments

The RiverStone Group

Tyson Foods*

Valchon, Clukay & Co.*

Verizon

Walmart

^{*}Corporations whom chose to participate in the survey



Catalysts for Building Community

The City of Manchester, NH Ballpark Feasibility Study

Corporate Telephone Interview Questionnaire

- 1. Explain briefly the type of product or services that your company offers, the approximate number of employees, and company gross revenue.
- 2. Where is your company headquarters?

How many offices/locations do you have?

3. What are your general feelings about Manchester's corporate strength?

Has the corporate climate changed in recent years?

4. Does your company do any type of advertising (e.g. television, radio, print, etc.)? If not why?

Is the advertising local, regional, national, or international?

- 5. Does your company advertise at sporting events (major league, minor league, collegiate) or at any other ballparks/assembly facilities?
- 6. If Manchester were to get a professional league baseball team and build a ballpark, would your company consider advertising at baseball games or other events? (signage, PA announcements, promotions, building naming, etc.)? If not why?
- 7. Would your company consider buying packages of season tickets for use of employees and clients (roughly about \$400-\$600 per ticket) for a professional league baseball team at the proposed ballpark?

- 8. Would your company consider leasing club seats in the ballpark with waiter/waitress services at \$600-\$800 per seat?
- 9. Would your company consider leasing a luxury suite/skybox in the ballpark that may include a fully furnished room and bar, waiter/waitress service, television, and telephone to entertain clients, employees, family and friends? Current pricing is \$15,000-\$20,000 thousand per box (avg. 20 seats) for an annual year lease.

What amenities would you like to see in a suite at a new ballpark?

10. Do you own or lease skyboxes/luxury suites or club seats at other sporting venues in the area? Which venues?

How many seats do you have at each location?

- 11. Would your company be interested in purchasing naming rights for the ballpark? If so, for how much?
- 12. Do you have any general comments about a ballpark facility in Manchester?

01/31/03

City of Manchester, NH MINOR LEAGUE BALLPARK FEASIBILITY STUDY

DETAILED FINANCIAL ANALYSIS Basic Operating Pro Forma

31-Jan-03

	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Stadium Income Event Generated Income										
Rental Income General Gate Receipt Revenues	0 2,520,000	0 2.596.000	0 2.673.000	0 2.754.000	0 2.836.000	0 2,921,000	3.009.000	0 3,099,000	0 3,192,000	0 3.288.000
Novelty / Concessions	1,721,045	1,772,676	1,825,857	1,880,632	1,937,051	1,995,163	2,055,018	2,116,668	2,180,168	2,245,573
Luxury Suite Revenue	440,000	528,000	550,000	567,000	567,000	567,000	584,000	584,000	584,000	601,000
Club Seat Revenue	53,000	55,000	58,000	63,000	66,000	630,000	70,000	70,000	70,000	72,000
Naming Income	250,000	250,000	250,000	250,000	250,000	250,000	250,000	250,000	250,000	250,000
Total Event Revenue	5,534,045	5,768,676	5,940,857	6,116,632	6,276,051	6,440,163	6,626,018	6,797,668	6,974,168	7,175,573
TOTAL INCOME	5,534,045	5,768,676	5,940,857	6,116,632	6,276,051	6,440,163	6,626,018	6,797,668	6,974,168	7,175,573
Stadium Expenses (1) Personnel Expense:										
Staff Salaries	120,000	31,000	128,000	132,000	136,000	140,000 35,000	36,000	148,000	152,000	157,000
Contract Labor	230,000	237,000	244,000	251,000	259,000	267,000	275,000	283,000	291,000	300,000
Subtotal - Stadium Personnel	380,000	392,000	404,000	416,000	429,000	442,000	455,000	468,000	481,000	496,000
Non-Personnel Expenses: Utilities/Supplies/Insurance/Miscellaneous	477,000	491,000	506,000	521,000	537,000	553,000	570,000	587,000	605,000	623,000
Other Franchise Operating Expenses Salaries & Benefis	886.000	913.000	940 000	000 896	000.766	1.027.000	1.058.000	1.090.000	1,123,000	1.157.000
Advertising & Promotion	390,000	402,000	414,000	426,000	439,000	452,000	466,000	480,000	494,000	200,000
ream Expenses Administrative & General	646,000	665,000	685,000	706,000	727,000	749,000	309,000 771,000	318,000 794,000	328,000 818,000	338,000 843,000
Concession % Merchandise (60% of Revenues)	1,033,000	1,064,000	1,096,000	1,129,000	1,163,000	1,198,000	1,234,000	1,271,000	1,309,000	1,348,000
Subtotal - Other Franchise Expenses	3,486,000	3,591,000	3,698,000	3,809,000	3,923,000	4,041,000	4,162,000	4,287,000	4,416,000	4,549,000
Total Expenses	4,343,000	4,474,000	4,608,000	4,746,000	4,889,000	5,036,000	5,187,000	5,342,000	5,502,000	5,668,000
NET OPERATING INCOME	1,191,045	1,294,676	1,332,857	1,370,632	1,387,051	1,404,163	1,439,018	1,455,668	1,472,168	1,507,573
Payment to City of Manchester	750,000	750,000	750,000	750,000	750,000	750,000	750,000	750,000	750,000	750,000
Coverage of Payment to City	1.59	1.73	1.78	1.83	1.85	1.87	1.92	1.94	1.96	2.01
CASH FLOW AFTER PAYMENT TO CITY	441,045	544,676	582,857	620,632	637,051	654,163	689,018	705,668	722,168	757,573
Non-Mandatory Cash Transfers Replacement Reserve	25,000	26,000	27,000	28,000	29,000	30,000	31,000	32,000	33,000	34,000
Cash Flow After All Expenditures Cumulative Cash Flow After All Expenditures	416,045 416,045	518,676 934,721	555,857 1,490,578	592,632 2,083,210	608,051 2,691,262	624,163 3,315,425	658,018 3,973,442	673,668 4,647,111	689,168 5,336,279	723,573 6,059,852
Note:										

Note: (1) Stadium expenses are exclusive of Ballclub/baseball operations.

Page 2 Brailsford & Dunlavey

01/31/03

City of Manchester, NH MINOR LEAGUE BALLPARK FEASIBILITY STUDY

DETAILED FINANCIAL ANALYSIS Revenue Projections - Specialized Seating

LUXURY SUITES	2000	2002	5000	2000	2008	5000	2010	202	2012	2001
Number of Suites Sold Price Per Seat Total Luxury Suite Revenue	20 25,000 \$500,000	24 25,000 \$600,000	25 25,000 \$625,000	25 25,750 \$643,750	25 25,750 \$643,750	25 25,750 \$643,750	25 26,523 \$663,063	25 26,523 \$663,063	25 26,523 \$663,063	25 27,318 \$682,954
Expenses (Amenity Benefits) Parking Suite Expenses (@12 % of suite gross revenue) Seat Expense	000,008	0 \$72,000 \$72,000	0 \$75,000 \$75,000	0 \$77,250 \$77,250	0 \$77,250 \$77,250	0 \$77,250 \$77,250	0 \$79,568 \$79,568	0 \$79,568 \$79,568	0 \$79,568 \$79,568	0 \$81,955 \$81,955
Net Luxury Suite Income	\$440,000	\$528,000	\$550,000	\$566,500	\$566,500	\$566,500	\$583,500	\$583,500	\$583,500	\$601,000
CLUB SEATS										
Number of Club Seats Sold Price Per Seat	80 \$750	84 \$750	88 \$750	93 \$773	97 \$773	100 \$773	100 \$796	100 \$796	100 \$796	100 \$820
Total Club Seat Revenue	\$60,000	\$63,000	\$66,150	\$71,541	\$75,118	\$77,250	\$79,568	\$79,568	\$79,568	\$81,955
Expenses (Amenity Benefits) Parking Club Expenses (@12 % of club seat gross revenue)	0 \$7,200	0 \$7,560	0 \$7,938	0 \$8,585	0 \$9,014	0 \$9,270	0 \$9,548	0 \$9,548	0 \$9,548	0 \$9,835
Seat Expense	\$7,200	\$7,560	\$7,938	\$8,585	\$9,014	\$9,270	\$9,548	\$9,548	\$9,548	\$9,835
Club Seat Net Revenue	\$53,000	\$55,000	\$58,000	\$63,000	\$66,000	\$68,000	\$70,000	\$70,000	\$70,000	\$72,000
GENERAL SEATING										
Total Annual Attendance Average Ticket Price	315,000	315,000	315,000	315,000	315,000	315,000	315,000	315,000	315,000 \$10.13	315,000
Total General Gate Receipts	\$2,520,000	\$2,595,600	\$2,673,468	\$2,753,672	\$2,836,282	\$2,921,371	\$3,009,012	\$3,099,282	\$3,192,261	\$3,288,028
Concessions/Novelties										
Total Annual Attendance	315,000	315,000	315,000	315,000	315,000	315,000	315,000	315,000	315,000	315,000
Total Concessions/Novelties Receipts	\$1,721,045	\$1,772,676	\$1,825,857	\$1,880,632	\$1,937,051	\$1,995,163	\$2,055,018	\$2,116,668	\$2,180,168	\$2,245,573

City of Manchester, NH MINOR LEAGUE BALLPARK FEASIBILITY STUDY DETAILED FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

Event Revenue Assumptions

Page 3 Brailsford & Dunlavey 01/31/03

Advertising		Anchor Tenant Assumptions	
Building Naming - Per Year	\$250,000	Does Anchor Tenant Pay Rent?	No
Building Advertising - Per Year	\$550,000	Rent Per Event	\$0
	*****	Number of Events	70
Parking		Price Per Event	\$8.00
	0		No
Parking Lot Capacity		Does Anchor Tenant Put up Equity?	
Avg People Per Car?	3	Amount of Equity	\$0
Percent of People Driving to Game	95%	Increase Attendance (X)% Per Year	0.00%
Current Parking Charge	N/A		
Parking Charge When Building Opens	\$0.00	Average Rent Per Event	
Daily Parking?	No	Minor League Baseball	\$0
Daily Parking Charge	\$0.00	Semi-Professional Baseball/Softball	\$0
Avg Number of Daily Parkers	0	College / Amateur Sports	\$0
Number of Working Days	0	High School Sports	\$0
3 3,3		Concerts	\$0
Concessions/Novelties		Family Events	\$0
Average Amount Spent Per Spectator - 2002 Avg.	\$5.00	Flat / Public Shows	\$0
Average Amount Spent Per Spectator - Yr 2004	\$5.46		\$0 \$0
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	Stage / Theatrical	•
Percent of Concession Rev. Achieved By Ballpark	100.00%	Parking Lot	\$0
Ballpark Portion of Concession Income Per Spectat.	\$5.46	Other	\$0
Percent Ballpark Non-baseball Concession Revenues	20%		
Percent Cap. Imp. Non-baseball Concession Revenues	15%	Increase Rent {X} Per Year	3.00%
Club Seats		Average Attendence	
Number of Club Seats	100	Minor League Baseball	4,500
Percent Occupied Yr 1	80.00%	Semi-Professional Baseball/Softball	0
Percent Increase Per Year	5.00%	College / Amateur Sports	0
Increase Fee Every {X} Years	3	High School Sports	0
		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0
Price of Club Seat	\$750	Concerts	
Escalate Club Seat	3.00%	Family Events	0
Club Seat Expenses as % of Gross Revenues	12.00%	Flat / Public Shows	0
		Stage / Theatrical	0
Luxury Suites / Sky Boxes		Parking Lot	0
Number of Suites	25	Other	0
Percent Occupied Yr 1	80.00%		
Percent Increase Per Year	20.00%	Event Revenue Inflation	3.00%
Average Cost Per Suite	\$25,000	Years till Opening	3
Number of People Per Suite	20	3	
Increase Luxury Suites Every {X} Year(s)	3	GAP FUNDING	
Percent Increase	3.00%	Ticket Surcharge	
	12.00%		No
Luxury Suite Expenses as % of Gross Revenues	12.00%	Utilize a ticket surcharge?	No to oo
5 10 411		Amount of Surcharge per ticket?	\$0.00
Personal Seat Licenses		Annual Surcharge Revenue	\$0
Use Personal Seat Licenses?	No	Surcharge Debt Capacity	\$0
Number of Personal Seat Licenses	0	Average Ticket Price (2004)	\$7.00
Average Cost Per License	\$0		
		Property Taxes	
Equity		Utilize City Tax Increment Fina?	No
Does Developer put up Equity?	No	Property Tax Per \$100 Value	N/A
Amount of Equity	\$0	Value of Improvements	N/A
Do Municipalities put up Equity?	Yes	Annual Tax Increment Revenue	\$0
Amount of Equity? (Sum of Right Column)	\$27,500,000	Tax Increment Debt Capacity	\$0
Does State put up Equity?	Ψ27,300,000 No	Utilize Sch Dist Tax Incr Fina?	No
		Othize Sch Dist Tax Inc. 1 ina:	INO
Amount of Equity	\$0		
Does Casino Authority put up Equity?	NA		
Amount of Equity	\$0		
Does Naming Sponsor put up Equity?	No		
Amount of Equity	\$0		
Does Team put up Equity?	Yes		
Amount of Equity	\$0		
•			
Event Staffing			
Percent of Event Staffing Reimbursable	5.00%		
•			

Brailsford & Dunlavey

01/31/03

MINOR LEAGUE BALLPARK FEASIBILITY STUDY

City of Manchester, NH

- ANALYSIS	Assumptions
DETAILED FINANCIAL	Expenses - Assu
DETAILED	Operating

Personnel Costs for Stadium (1) Director of Ballpark Operations Ballpark & Field Maintenance (3 @ \$25,000) Total Salaries Benefit Rate Total Benefits Contract Labor (Security, add. field maintenance, etc.)	\$45,000 \$75,000 \$120,000 25% \$30,000 \$230,000	Personnel Costs for Team Salaries & Benefits Advertising & Promotion Team Expenses Administrative & General Concession % Merchandise Ticket Cost	2002 Dollars \$811,000 \$357,000 \$237,000 \$591,000 60% of Revenues \$249,000
Non-Personnel Costs Utilities Supplies Equipment / Staging Insurance & Taxes Other Miscellaneous Charges Total Operating Expenses	\$150,000 \$114,000 \$25,000 \$158,000 \$30,000 \$857,000		
Reserves Debt Service Reserve Replacement Reserve Percent of Operating Reserve Expense Inflator Number of Years till Opening	\$0 \$25,000 0.00% 103% 2		

Page 5

Brailsford & Dunlavey

01/31/03

City of Manchester, NH MINOR LEAGUE BALLPARK FEASIBILITY STUDY DETAILED FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

Fee Schedules

Base Fee Inflator Schedule:		Not Compounded	-		-		-	-	-	-	-
Frequency of Increase:		FY -2001	FY -2002	FY -2003	FY -2004	FY -2005	FY -2006	FY -2007	FY -2008	FY -2009	FY -2010
Every:	Year	100.00%	103.00%	106.09%	109.27%	112.55%	115.93%	119.41%	122.99%	126.68%	130.48%
	2 Years	100.00%	100.00%	103.00%	103.00%	106.09%	106.09%	109.27%	109.27%	112.55%	112.55%
	3 Years	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	103.00%	103.00%	103.00%	106.09%	106.09%	106.09%	109.27%
	4 Years	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	103.00%	103.00%	103.00%	103.00%	106.09%	106.09%
	5 Years	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	103.00%	103.00%	103.00%	103.00%	103.00%
Luxury Suites Schedule:		Not Compounded									
Number of Years Level		FY -2001	FY -2002	FY -2003	FY -2004	FY -2005	FY -2006	FY -2007	FY -2008	FY -2009	FY -2010
Every:	Year	\$25,000	\$25,750	\$26,523	\$27,318	\$28,138	\$28,982	\$29,851	\$30,747	\$31,669	\$32,619
	2 Years	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,750	\$25,750	\$26,523	\$26,523	\$27,318	\$27,318	\$28,138	\$28,138
	3 Years	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,750	\$25,750	\$25,750	\$26,523	\$26,523	\$26,523	\$27,318
	4 Years	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,750	\$25,750	\$25,750	\$25,750	\$26,523	\$26,523
	5 Years	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,750	\$25,750	\$25,750	\$25,750	\$25,750
Club Seats Schedule:		Not Compounded	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Frequency of Increase:		FY -2001	FY -2002	FY -2003	FY -2004	FY -2005	FY -2006	FY -2007	FY -2008	FY -2009	FY -2010
Every:	Year	\$750.00	\$772.50	\$795.68	\$819.55	\$844.13	\$869.46	\$895.54	\$922.41	\$950.08	\$978.58
	2 Years	\$750.00	\$750.00	\$772.50	\$772.50	\$795.68	\$795.68	\$819.55	\$819.55	\$844.13	\$844.13
	3 Years	\$750.00	\$750.00	\$750.00	\$772.50	\$772.50	\$772.50	\$795.68	\$795.68	\$795.68	\$819.55
	4 Years	\$750.00	\$750.00	\$750.00	\$750.00	\$772.50	\$772.50	\$772.50	\$772.50	\$795.68	\$795.68
	5 Years	\$750.00	\$750.00	\$750.00	\$750.00	\$750.00	\$772.50	\$772.50	\$772.50	\$772.50	\$772.50

City of Manchester, NH MINOR LEAGUE BALLPARK FEASIBILITY STUDY

DETAILED FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

Exhibit 19. Market Sensitive Matrix

Variables			
Attendance	NOI*	City Payment	Team Cash Flow
3,500	\$478,591	\$750,000	-\$271,409
4,000	\$834,818	\$750,000	\$84,818
4,500	\$1,191,045	\$750,000	\$441,045
5,000	\$1,548,272	\$750,000	\$798,272
5,500	\$1,904,499	\$750,000	\$1,154,499
Ticket Prices			
\$7.00	\$876,045	\$750,000	\$126,045
\$7.50	\$1,034,045	\$750,000	\$284,045
\$8.00	\$1,191,045	\$750,000	\$441,045
\$8.50	\$1,349,045	\$750,000	\$599,045
\$9.00	\$1,506,045	\$750,000	\$756,045
Suite Sales (percent d	of 25 suites)		
60%	\$1,081,045	\$750,000	\$331,045
80%	\$1,191,045	\$750,000	\$441,045
100%	\$1,301,045	\$750,000	\$551,045
Club Seat Sales (perc	ent of 100 seats)		
60%	\$1,178,045	\$750,000	\$428,045
80%	\$1,191,045	\$750,000	\$441,045
100%	\$1,204,045	\$750,000	\$454,045

^{*} Net Operating Income Prior to \$750,000 payment to the City

Areas highlighted in Red represent Proforma assumptions

Page 1
Brailsford & Dunlave
01/31/03

City of Manchester, NH MINOR LEAGUE BALLPARK FEASIBILITY STUDY

DETAILED FINANCIAL ANALYSIS Basic Operating Pro Forma - No Tenant

31-Jan-03

	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Stadium Income Event Generated Income Rental Income Rental Income General Gate Receipt Revenues Novelty / Concessions Parking Income Luxury Suite Revenue Club Seat Revenue Advertising Income Naming Income	0000000	0000000	0000000	0000000	0000000	0000000	0000000	0000000	000000	0000000
Total Event Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL INCOME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stadium Expenses (1) Personnel Expense: Staff Salaries Staff Benefits Contract Labor Subtotal - Stadium Personnel	45,000 11,000 150,000 206,000	46,000 11,000 155,000 212,000	47,000 11,000 160,000 218,000	48,000 11,000 165,000 224,000	49,000 11,000 170,000 230,000	50,000 11,000 175,000 236,000	52,000 11,000 180,000 243,000	54,000 11,000 185,000 250,000	56,000 11,000 191,000 258,000	58,000 11,000 197,000 266,000
Non-Personnel Expenses: Utilities/Supplies/Insurance/Miscellaneous	68,325	70,000	72,000	74,000	76,000	78,000	80,000	82,000	84,000	87,000
Other Franchise Operating Expenses Salaries & Benefits Advertising & Promotion Team Expenses Administrative & General Concession % Merchandise (60% of Revenues) Ticket Cost	00000	00000 c	000000	000000	00000 c	00000 c	00000 c	00000 c	00000	000000
Subtotal - Other Franchise Expenses Total Expenses	274.000	282.000	290.000	298.000	306.000	314.000	323.000	332.000	342.000	353.000
NET OPERATING INCOME	-274,000	-282,000	-290,000	-298,000	-306,000	-314,000	-323,000	-332,000	-342,000	-353,000
Payment to City of Manchester	750,000	750,000	750,000	750,000	750,000	750,000	750,000	750,000	750,000	750,000
Coverage of Payment to City	-0.37	-0.38	-0.39	-0.40	-0.41	-0.42	-0.43	-0.44	-0.46	-0.47
CASH FLOW AFTER PAYMENT TO CITY	-1,024,000	-1,032,000	-1,040,000	-1,048,000	-1,056,000	-1,064,000	-1,073,000	-1,082,000	-1,092,000	-1,103,000
Non-Mandatory Cash Transfers Replacement Reserve	25,000	26,000	27,000	28,000	29,000	30,000	31,000	32,000	33,000	34,000
Cash Flow After All Expenditures Cumulative Cash Flow After All Expenditures	-1,049,000 -1,049,000	-1,058,000 -2,107,000	-1,067,000 -3,174,000	-1,076,000 -4,250,000	-1,085,000 -5,335,000	-1,094,000 -6,429,000	-1,104,000 -7,533,000	-1,114,000 -8,647,000	-1,125,000 -9,772,000	-1,137,000 -10,909,000

Note: (1) Stadium expenses are exclusive of Ballclub/baseball operations.